1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 2 ----X UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Docket No. 10-739M-11 3 Plaintiff, 4 Washington, D.C. v. August 29, 2011 5 1:50 p.m. 6 DANIEL CHOI, AFTERNOON SESSION Defendant. 7 ----X 8 BENCH TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN M. FACCIOLA 9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE APPEARANCES: 10 11 For the Plaintiff: U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE By: Ms. Angela S. George 12 555 Fourth Street, N.W. Room 4444 13 Washington, D.C. 20530 202.252.7943 14 angela.george@usdoj.gov 15 For the Defendant: LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT J. FELDMAN By: Mr. Robert J. Feldman 16 14 Wall Street, 20th Floor New York, NY 10005 17 917.657.5177 robert jfeldman@aol.com 18 Court Reporter: Catalina Kerr, RPR, CRR 19 U.S. District Courthouse Room 6509 20 Washington, D.C. 20001 202.354.3258 21 catykerr@msn.com 22 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript 23 produced by computer. 24 25

	I					
1		C(ONTEI	NTS		
2	COURT REPO	RTER'S CERTIFICA	TE		•••••	166
3	WITNESSES:		DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
4	Lt. Robert		4		58,76	
5	By Ms. G By Mr. F	-	4	21	50,70	69
6	Michael Fe By Ms. G		79			
7	By Mr. F	-		93		
8	JEROME STO By Ms. G		94		141	
9	By Mr. F	-	μ	118	111	145
10	Robert F. By Ms. G	-	146			
11	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	110		OFFERED	ADMITTED
12						
13	GX-9	Photo - Choi fa and Stoudamire			151 s	151
14	GX-10	Choi face down			151	151
15		Stoudamire and with Arms	Laster w	OFKING		
16	GX-11	Choi being Carr Fermaint, Laska			151	151
17	CVL 1.0		_			7 7 4
18		Choi Standing o the Patty Wagon			114	114
19	GX-13	Choi – We Will News Coverage	Not Go A	way	114,151	114 , 151
20	01 14	Rachel Morrow,	MANDA AL		1 - 1	
21	GX-14	Rachel Morrow,	MSNBC-Ch	ol Guest	151	151
22	GX-15	AP Video			151	151
23	GX-16	Youtube-Protest			151	151
24	GX-17	Youtube-Gay Sol	dier Arr	ested	151	151
25	GX-18	Choi - We Will News Coverage Rachel Morrow, AP Video Youtube-Protest Youtube-Gay Sol Youtube-Choi & Standoff at Whi	More Her te House	oes Gates	151	151
	I					

1		INDEX-CONTINUED		
2	EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	OFFERED	ADMITTED
3	GX-19	Choi Arrested at White House 3/18/10	151	151
4 5	GX-20	Photo of Choi being led Into U.S. Park Police Van	151	151
6	GX-21	Photo-Depicting Protestors Cuffe to White House Fence	d 151	151
7 8	GX-22	Photo Depicting Closeup of Cuffs	151	151
9	GX-23	Photo Enlargement of Choi Placed in Handcuffs	151	151
10 11	GX-27	Photo Depicting USPP Recording Protestors	11	11
12	GX-29	Photo Depicting Officer Giving Warnings	11	11
13	GX-35	Enlarged Photo Depicting Protestors	13	13
14	GX-40	Fed. Reg. Vol. 41 No. 199	21	21
15 16	GX-41	Statute Section 10-104	21	21
	GX-42	Statute 16 Section 1a-6	21	21
17 18	GX-43	Statute Section 5-201	21	21
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

I	
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(1:50 P.M.; OPEN COURT.)
3	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please remain seated. You can
4	remain seated. The Court is back in session.
5	THE COURT: Proceed, counsel. Where's our witness?
6	Come on up, Lieutenant.
7	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Judge.
8	THE COURT: Proceed.
9	LT. ROBERT LACHANCE,
10	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
11	DIRECT EXAMINATION (CONT'D.)
12	BY MS. GEORGE:
13	Q Lieutenant LaChance, before we broke for lunch, we were
14	discussing the number of arrests that you've effectuated at
15	the or participated in at White House sidewalk.
16	Focusing on those arrests, and the mass arrest
17	procedure, could you tell the Court whether you, as a Park
18	police officer, when you decide or authorize an arrest, do you
19	consider the content of the protest or the demonstration, what
20	the particular subject matter of the demonstration is?
21	MR. FELDMAN: Objection. Not only is that for this
22	court to decide but it's really for the Supreme Court or the
23	appellate court to decide. That is totally illegal.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Let me see if I understand the
25	subject matter. Did you understand what the subject matter of

this particular demonstration was? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I had an idea, sir. 3 All right. Now, with reference to THE COURT: demonstrations, do you ever explore why they're there? 4 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 Thank you. THE COURT: 7 MS. GEORGE: Well, Your Honor, the Government's 8 particular question is, do you ever consider, in deciding to 9 arrest individuals that are demonstrating on the White House 10 sidewalk, what they are demonstrating about. 11 THE WITNESS: No. 12 THE COURT: That goes to a defense that has not been 13 asserted yet. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, actually --14 15 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. MS. GEORGE: Actually --16 17 MR. FELDMAN: Every time you make a ruling, Judge, 18 she goes on and on. Where I come from, Manhattan, the Court's --19 20 THE COURT: You're from New York? 21 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, sir. 22 THE COURT: I would have never guessed it. 23 (LAUGHTER.) 24 THE COURT: Because I'm from Brooklyn and you have a 25 very strange accent.

I	
1	MR. FELDMAN: I'm from Brooklyn, Your Honor. I
2	can't tell this court how to run its court, but
3	THE COURT: I appreciate it. Your point is well
4	taken.
5	Let me see if I understand something. We all agree
6	the First Amendment would preclude law enforcement from
7	considering the content of a speaker's speech as being a
8	grounds to differentiate among speakers. Now, that's not at
9	issue now, is it? Is there some suggestion in your case that
10	you locked up people who were in favor of prohibition as
11	opposed to those against prohibition or whatever?
12	MS. GEORGE: No, Your Honor. But in analyzing the
13	Court's first theory, the primary theory of the case
14	THE COURT: I don't have a theory of the case.
15	MS. GEORGE: We do.
16	THE COURT: All right. Tell me what your theory is.
17	You don't have to negate potential defenses until they are
18	asserted. I haven't heard word one about a defense.
19	MS. GEORGE: I'm not negating any defense, Your
20	Honor. What I'm trying to address are the issues that United
21	States versus Goldin and United States versus Poocha address
22	in those cases.
23	THE COURT: And what do they address?
24	MS. GEORGE: And in those cases they address the
25	fact that in determining whether the Defendant failed to obey

1	a lawful order, the Courts in those cases considered whether
2	the decision to arrest was content based.
3	And so I'm just trying to elicit evidence with
4	regard to that particular issue because the law says that, in
5	those cases, that the Court can consider that or may need to
6	consider it in determining whether this defendant has been
7	is guilty of failure to obey a lawful order. So my the
8	basis of my questions or the reasons for my questions are
9	based in the law.
10	THE COURT: Well, not the law as you originally
11	articulated when we last discussed this matter. Never have
12	you advanced to me until today this notion that implicit in
13	the simple wording of the regulation, which I'm looking at,
14	quotes that the control of public movement and activities is
15	necessary to maintain order or public service. There is a
16	subtext by which you, in order to establish guilt of that
17	offense, have to eliminate other potential defenses. I don't
18	understand that.
19	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, I guess I don't know how
20	else to communicate to the Court. The Government is not
21	addressing any defenses at this particular point in time. I'm
22	addressing what the these courts have considered in
23	determining whether the Government has established the

24 elements of the crime and that this is --

25

THE COURT: That may be a factor in those decisions

but why is it a factor in this one? There isn't a shred of evidence that this -- that the people who made these arrests did so because they were motivated by the content of what these people were saying.

5 MS. GEORGE: I understand, Your Honor, and that may 6 at some point in time be related to the selective prosecution 7 point, but that's not what I'm focusing on. What I'm trying to focus the Court on is that in Goldin and Poocha, actually 8 9 they aren't even discussing selective prosecution in those 10 They're discussing what the Government must put in the cases. 11 record for the Court to find that the Defendant is guilty of 12 failure to obey a lawful order. And in those cases they 13 discuss the fact that --

14 THE COURT: The theoretical possibility that one of 15 the motivations behind giving the order was the perception by 16 the person who gave the order that the speech was not to the 17 liking -- his liking or the liking of the Government?

MS. GEORGE: No, the Court concludes that the speech was -- was not content based. It was protected by the First Amendment. And irrespective of that, the Court still can conclude that the Defendant failed to obey a lawful order.

THE COURT: I'll take it solely to answer this question. Were you aware of why these people were -- were demonstrating?

25

MR. FELDMAN: I interject the Court. He said he had

I	
1	an idea. Asked and answered. He said, "I had an idea."
2	Asked and answered.
3	THE COURT: Okay. Just a minute. Were you talking
4	about what I said or what he said?
5	MR. FELDMAN: When you asked him did you know what
6	these guys were doing, he said "I had an idea, sir."
7	THE COURT: You had an idea. Was that a motivating
8	factor in your decision?
9	THE WITNESS: No, it wasn't.
10	THE COURT: Thank you.
11	MS. GEORGE: Thank you, Your Honor.
12	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Lieutenant LaChance, Government Exhibit
13	No. 47 has been introduced Well, let me do it this way first.
14	I'm referring to Government's Exhibits 27 and 29.
15	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, before the lunch break I
16	showed these to Defense counsel and I believe he stated he was
17	not objecting to their admissibility; is that correct,
18	Mr. Feldman?
19	MR. FELDMAN: Right.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Lieutenant LaChance, I want to show you
22	Government's Exhibits 27 and 29. In your testimony you
23	mentioned that there was an individual taking a video of the
	mentioned that there was an individual taking a video of the events that day; is that correct?

1	remember. I think it
2	THE COURT: What do you recollect about that, about
3	the exhibit?
4	THE WITNESS: I do remember and I know that it was
5	videotaped. What I said I don't remember is exactly how far
6	behind me he was, whether he was 2 feet or 5 feet.
7	THE COURT: All right.
8	THE WITNESS: To me, it didn't matter.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And does Government Exhibits 27 or 29
11	depict an individual or a law enforcement officer with a video
12	camera?
13	A In 27.
14	Q Could you please take this red sticker that's marked with
15	"video officer" and please place it near that individual or on
16	that individual.
17	A (Doing so.)
18	Q And does Government's Exhibit 27 also show the car from
19	which you gave the warning?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Could you put this red sticker which has "RL's car" on it
22	on that vehicle.
23	A (Doing so.)
24	Q And does Government's Exhibit No. 29 depict your car
25	where you gave your warnings from?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Could you please put the sticker that says "RL's car" on
3	that vehicle.
4	A (Doing so.)
5	MR. FELDMAN: Without objection, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Be admitted.
7	(GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS 27 AND 29 ADMITTED.)
8	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, may I publish them to the
9	Court at this time?
10	THE COURT: Sure. Yeah.
11	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Also, Lieutenant LaChance, you
12	indicated Let me just ask you this. While you were there at
13	the White House on November 15, 2010, did you observe any
14	individual or individuals with any amplification devices?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Could you please describe who you saw and what type of
17	amplification device they had or the person had?
18	A I saw two. One was a male in Army fatigues, white male.
19	He was in Lafayette Park right on the inner perimeter line,
20	and then there was a female also over to, I guess, on the west
21	side, and she was the one who was as we addressed earlier,
22	was had the content and speech. I guess it was
23	THE COURT: She seemed to use the word "God" or
24	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, sir, that one.
25	THE COURT: The person who was a white male in Army

fatigues, was he wearing fatigues that were Government issue, 1 that is, could you -- A lot of people wear camouflage. Could 2 3 you see his name or was he wearing a beret? THE WITNESS: Well, he appeared to be standard 4 5 Army --6 THE COURT: So he appeared to be GI, right? 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 8 THE COURT: Thank you. 9 (BY MS. GEORGE) And the Court also asked was he wearing a Q 10 beret. Do you recall whether or not he was wearing a beret? 11 Α I believe he was. 12 MS. GEORGE: I'm showing Defense counsel what's been marked as Government's Exhibit No. 35 that's previously 13 14 provided. 15 MR. FELDMAN: It's a picture of Captain Jim, without 16 consent, goes into evidence, Judge. 17 THE COURT: Captain Jim. Thank you. 18 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, just for the record, the 19 Government doesn't have any independent information of who 20 this particular individual is. 21 MR. FELDMAN: I just indicated and stipulated to 22 that, sir. 23 Captain Jim is --THE COURT: 24 MR. FELDMAN: Petrieangelo, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: Petrieangelo.

1 MR. FELDMAN: P-i-e-t-i-a-n-g-e-l-o [sic], The 2 Second. 3 THE COURT: Two names. MR. FELDMAN: James Petrieangelo. 4 5 THE COURT: That's fine. It will be admitted. Let 6 me see it. 7 (GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 35 ADMITTED.) 8 MS. GEORGE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 9 (BY MS. GEORGE) Lieutenant LaChance, you just described Ο 10 an individual in fatigues, white male with the bullhorn. Do you 11 recognize this individual in Government's Exhibit 35? 12 Yes. That's the man I was speaking about. Α 13 I'm showing you what's been marked Government's Exhibit 0 31 and previously admitted. 14 15 MR. FELDMAN: I think without objection, Your Honor, and then, yes, Captain is in the back, too, Captain James 16 17 Petrieangelo, II who's not an individual. He's a Captain. 18 (BY MS. GEORGE) Lieutenant LaChance, do you see a white 0 19 male with fatigues on Government's Exhibit No. 31? 20 Yes, I do. А 21 And is that the same or different as the person that you Ο 22 identified? 23 Objection. The evidence speaks for MR. FELDMAN: 24 itself. This court is not a machine. 25 That's all right. Let me take a look at THE COURT:

I	
1	it.
2	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Is it the same or different individual as
3	you previously identified Government's Exhibit No. 35?
4	A The same person.
5	THE COURT: How do you know it's the same person? I
6	don't see the bullhorn anymore.
7	THE WITNESS: Well, I'm just looking at the stature
8	of the person, the haircut, the stance. It just appears to be
9	the same person.
10	THE COURT: Now, by this point, in terms of
11	chronology, that picture is first, and it's followed by this
12	picture?
13	MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibit 35.
14	THE COURT: 35 is before 31?
15	THE WITNESS: I would say 31 is the first picture
16	and 35 is the second.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And it's your
18	testimony it seems to be the same person?
19	THE WITNESS: Yes, it appears to be the same person.
20	THE COURT: Thank you.
21	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Now, I'm going to ask you some questions
22	about Government's Exhibit 47. Earlier you told the Court that
23	you made the decision to arrest the 13 individuals, including
24	Mr. Choi that was standing on the ledge.
25	MR. FELDMAN: Objection, Lieutenant Choi.

I	
1	THE COURT: Lieutenant Choi. All right. You made
2	that decision. Go ahead, please.
3	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Could you please tell the Court what
4	charge did you arrest him for?
5	A Failure to obey a lawful order.
6	Q I'm handing you Government's Exhibit No. 47. Did you
7	indicate the charge on that exhibit?
8	A Yes, I did.
9	Q Now, Government's Exhibit 47 also talks about, in the
10	first paragraph, individuals being on the sidewalk with signs;
11	is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And prior to November 15 I'm sorry, prior to the
14	warnings being read on November 15, 2010, did you have any
15	conversations with your supervisors and other law enforcement
16	officials about what charges would be applicable to the
17	conduct of the individuals that were standing on the ledge?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And could you please inform the Court what those
20	discussions were and
21	MR. FELDMAN: Objection. You know, I don't know how
22	many different ways she wants to get hearsay through this
23	court, but it's unethical in my humble opinion.
0.4	THE COURT: All right. You had a All right. You
24	THE COOKI, ALL LIGHT, TOU Had a ALL LIGHT, TOU

1 okay. 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 THE COURT: That's all I want to know, "yes" or "no," you did. The objection is otherwise sustained. 4 5 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, it goes to course of 6 conduct. 7 MR. FELDMAN: Objection, Judge. She's rearguing 8 every ruling. 9 THE COURT: I don't know what that means. That is 10 a -- Excuse me, Mr. Feldman. It is a statement by an out of 11 court person, not available for cross, being offered for the 12 trust of its contents, it's hearsay. 13 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor --14 THE COURT: But at some point in this discussion, a 15 decision was made to charge this particular offense; is that 16 right? 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: Was that your decision? 19 THE WITNESS: It was mine with, along with --20 THE COURT: So you and these other people discussed 21 These other people superior to you or inferior to you? it. 22 THE WITNESS: Both. 23 THE COURT: And were any of them -- was anybody part 24 of this discussion who was not a Park police, such as an 25 Assistance United States Attorney?

1 THE WITNESS: Well, yes, there was someone outside 2 the Park police. 3 THE COURT: And was that person an Assistant United 4 States Attorney? 5 THE WITNESS: No. 6 THE COURT: Was it a note from the Office of General 7 Counsel of your office? 8 THE WITNESS: It was our solicitor. 9 THE COURT: Solicitor. Department of Interior? 10 THE WITNESS: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 (BY MS. GEORGE) In those conversations, was a charge of Q 13 failure to obey a lawful order discussed? 14 А Yes. 15 MS. GEORGE: Court's indulgence. 16 (PAUSE.) 17 (BY MS. GEORGE) Lieutenant LaChance, in effectuating a --Ο 18 MR. FELDMAN: Your Honor, can my client sit next to 19 me so he can tell me what was said? 20 THE COURT: Please, marshals don't want him to --21 MR. FELDMAN: And my client is complaining that this 22 particular marshal has been harassing Lieutenant Dan Choi all 23 day. 24 THE COURT: I don't think he's harassing him. 25 Lieutenant, you've got to understand. Lieutenant,

I	
1	please, listen to me. They are responsible for my safety,
2	okay. So when you make a sudden movement, that concerns them,
3	and like you're trained about sudden movement, so are they.
4	So please be understanding, Lieutenant, okay.
5	THE DEFENDANT: I understand, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
7	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) As a shift commander lieutenant who
8	effectuates arrests and makes decisions to arrest individuals on
9	the White House sidewalk, are you aware of the legal authority
10	that allows you to do that?
11	MR. FELDMAN: Incompetent.
12	THE COURT: Sustained.
13	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Lieutenant LaChance, do you have the
14	authority to arrest individuals on the White House sidewalk?
15	A Yes.
16	MR. FELDMAN: Objection, there has been nobody
17	arrested on the sidewalk, Judge.
18	THE COURT: Well, you have you're a law
19	enforcement officer, right, so you have the power to make
20	arrests wherever they occur; isn't that right?
21	THE WITNESS: Yes, within my jurisdiction, sir.
22	THE COURT: And the Park police has a distinct
23	jurisdiction?
24	THE WITNESS: Yes, in that particular area.
25	THE COURT: All right. And who has jurisdiction in

1 this area, you or someone else? 2 THE WITNESS: The United States Park Police has 3 jurisdiction on the National Park Service property. And that includes the front of the White 4 THE COURT: 5 House? 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 (BY MS. GEORGE) Do you also have the same arrest powers 0 9 as the Metropolitan Police Department? 10 А Yes. 11 MR. FELDMAN: Objection. 12 THE COURT: I don't understand. What's the 13 relevance of that, Ms. George? 14 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, one of the elements of the 15 charged crime is that the -- you have to be a Government employee or an agent who is authorized to maintain order and 16 17 public control and movement. The authority of the Park police 18 is specifically relevant in this case. 19 THE COURT: Indeed, but why is the authority of the 20 Metropolitan Police Department relevant? 21 MS. GEORGE: Because it explains the authority that 22 the Park police has. They are not just solely limited to the 23 jurisdiction of the National Park Service. They also have 24 arrest authorities that the Metropolitan Police Department has 25 which would include other types of offenses besides federal

1offenses.2THE COURT: Objection is sustained.3MS. GEORGE: Is it sustained with regard to the4Metropolitan Police Department aspect of the question, Your5Honor?6THE COURT: It's sustained as to the question you7asked.8MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the9Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits10Court's indulgence.11(PAUSE.)12MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 4313for identification purposes.14MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases,15Judge. Objection.16MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor.17THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are.18MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please.19MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government20Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The21Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them22AR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take23MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take24judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	I	
 MS. GEORGE: Is it sustained with regard to the Metropolitan Police Department aspect of the question, Your Honor? THE COURT: It's sustained as to the question you asked. MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits Court's indulgence. (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	1	offenses.
 Metropolitan Police Department aspect of the question, Your Honor? THE COURT: It's sustained as to the question you asked. MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits Court's indulgence. (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	2	THE COURT: Objection is sustained.
 Honor? THE COURT: It's sustained as to the question you asked. MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits Court's indulgence. (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	3	MS. GEORGE: Is it sustained with regard to the
6THE COURT: It's sustained as to the question you7asked.8MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the9Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits10Court's indulgence.11(PAUSE.)12MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 4313for identification purposes.14MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases,15Judge. Objection.16MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor.17THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are.18MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please.19MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government20Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The21Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them22MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take23Judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	4	Metropolitan Police Department aspect of the question, Your
7 asked. 8 MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the 9 Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits 10 Court's indulgence. 11 (PAUSE.) 12 MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 13 for identification purposes. 14 MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, 15 Judge. Objection. 16 MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. 18 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. 19 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government 20 Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The 21 Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them 22 MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take 24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	5	Honor?
 MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits Court's indulgence. (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	6	THE COURT: It's sustained as to the question you
 Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits Court's indulgence. (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	7	asked.
 Court's indulgence. (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	8	MS. GEORGE: At this time, Your Honor, the
 (PAUSE.) MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	9	Government would move to introduce Government's Exhibits
 MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43 for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	10	Court's indulgence.
for identification purposes. MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	11	(PAUSE.)
 MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases, Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	12	MS. GEORGE: Government's Exhibits 40, 41, 42 and 43
 Judge. Objection. MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	13	for identification purposes.
 MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	14	MR. FELDMAN: She is trying to give you cases,
17 THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are. 18 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. 19 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government 20 Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The 21 Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them 22 and introduce them into evidence at this time. 23 MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take 24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	15	Judge. Objection.
 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	16	MS. GEORGE: They're not cases, Your Honor.
 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them and introduce them into evidence at this time. MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George 	17	THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's see what they are.
20 Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The 21 Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them 22 and introduce them into evidence at this time. 23 MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take 24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	18	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please.
21 Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them 22 and introduce them into evidence at this time. 23 MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take 24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	19	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the record, Government
22 and introduce them into evidence at this time. 23 MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take 24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	20	Exhibits 41, 42, 43 and 40 are federal statutes. The
23 MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take 24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	21	Government's asking the Court to take judicial notice of them
24 judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George	22	and introduce them into evidence at this time.
	23	MR. FELDMAN: This honorable court will always take
	24	judicial notice of every one of them, and I trust Ms. George
25 is not going to give you inauthentic law.	25	is not going to give you inauthentic law.

I	
1	THE COURT: All right. I'll take judicial notice of
2	any statutes of the United States. You can put them in the
3	record if you like. The objection is if there is an
4	objection, it's overruled.
5	(GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS 40, 41, 42, 43 ADMITTED.)
6	MS. GEORGE: Okay. And also, just so the record is
7	complete, Your Honor, Government's Exhibit 40 is a copy of a
8	federal register which all regulations are connected to the
9	Code of Federal Regulations.
10	THE COURT: I appreciate that.
11	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, at this time the Government
12	would just reserve the right to recall this witness in
13	relationship to the motion to eliminate the false statement.
14	THE COURT: Cross-examine, please.
15	MS. GEORGE: Thank you.
16	CROSS-EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. FELDMAN:
18	Q Good afternoon, sir. How are you?
19	A Fine, sir.
20	Q What were they doing unlawful?
21	THE COURT: "They" being the demonstrators, the
22	folks?
23	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor. He objected to
24	the officer being able to explain what the charges were.
25	THE COURT: I overruled his objection, so this is

1	cross-examination. Please, go ahead.
2	A What they were doing unlawful was demonstrating in
3	violation of the regulations for that area.
4	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) 36 CFR 2.32 in particular, sir?
5	A You could refresh my memory with the statute in front of
6	me, sir.
7	Q The one that talks about sidewalks, wildlife, that one.
8	Were they doing wildlife?
9	THE COURT: It's a horse.
10	MR. FELDMAN: Doesn't matter. That's a horse of a
11	different color.
12	A Yes, it's the CFR on failure to obey a lawful order, sir.
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) What were they doing unlawfully?
14	A They were demonstrating in an unlawful manner.
15	Q How?
16	A By
17	Q Tell me tell me what statute, regulation, ordinance,
18	common law or any official law, not your decision, the law
19	prohibits chaining oneself to the fence of the White House,
20	which is not
21	MS. GEORGE: Objection to the question.
22	Q on the sidewalk?
23	THE COURT: I think it's a question. Go ahead.
24	A All right. As I discussed with our solicitor, it
25	violates two specific laws. It could fit into either

1	
1	disorderly conduct or tampering, and based on that, we could
2	order them to remove themselves from the fence or arrest them
3	for failing to obey a lawful order.
4	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. Which is it?
5	THE COURT: Can I just pursue that for a second?
6	MR. FELDMAN: Please.
7	THE COURT: You use the words "tampering." Could
8	you please help me there, tampering with what?
9	THE WITNESS: Tampering with the fence, sir, based
10	on the solicitor's advice.
11	THE COURT: I see. Go ahead, Counsel. I'm sorry.
12	MR. FELDMAN: Oh, no, I'm sorry, Judge.
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. By the way, what is the name of
14	the particular solicitor general you allegedly spoke with?
15	A That would be Randolph Myers.
16	Q And where is Mr. Myers where did this discussion
17	allegedly take place?
18	A This was through e-mail correspondence.
19	Q Do you have those e-mails with you?
20	A The prosecution has it.
21	MR. FELDMAN: I call for the production of those
22	e-mails, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Can I see them?
24	MS. GEORGE: Certainly, Your Honor. 24 and 25.
25	Your Honor, I will I will hand up the e-mail that

Lieutenant LaChance is referring to. 1 2 THE COURT: Let's make sure that they are the ones 3 he's referring to. May I see them? Please look at what's been handed to you as 4 5 Government's Exhibit 25. 6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 THE COURT: Take a moment, please, and look at it 8 carefully. 9 THE WITNESS: Can I take it out, sir? THE COURT: 10 Sure. 11 (PAUSE.) 12 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, it is the e-mail I'm 13 referring to. THE COURT: So this is the e-mail that Myers sent 14 15 you in response to your request for his guidance? 16 THE WITNESS: Sir, it wasn't sent directly to me, 17 but it was sent to me indirectly. But this is the guidance that dictated the procedures we followed. 18 19 THE COURT: I understand. Thank you very much. 20 Would you please show that to counsel. Do you need 21 a moment to look at it, Counsel? 22 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, please. And can we get copies of 23 this? 24 THE COURT: It's easy enough to get copies. 25 MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

I	
1	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) So this was a I'm going to ask you
2	questions as I read. I'll try to read fast.
3	THE COURT: No, don't. Please, take your time.
4	Don't rush. Read it.
5	(PAUSE.)
6	MR. FELDMAN: I've read it, Judge, and again, thank
7	you for having your staff make copies for us at their
8	convenience. We'll give it to the
9	THE COURT: It's been offered. It's in evidence, so
10	give it to the clerk, please. Unless you want to use it
11	during your
12	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, I think I want to.
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Do you understand this stuff?
14	A I understand the gist of it, sir.
15	Q The gist of it. Good enough. The same as me. Basically
16	this lawyer, Government lawyer told you that what they were
17	doing may not technically violate the 7.96, the signage part
18	of the regulations, correct? And you want to look at it
19	yourself to see it?
20	A Yes, sir, that's my understanding.
21	Q And they told you, the lawyer told you that or
22	communicated to you through a Mr. Hodge, is that correct,
23	Mr. Hodge received this letter, correct, and he gave it to
24	you, correct?
25	A As Detective Hodge, yes, sir.

I	
1	Q Mr. Hodge. We call people "Mr." now.
2	THE COURT: Better call me "Judge."
3	MR. FELDMAN: Your Honor.
4	(LAUGHTER.)
5	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Now, he also told you, or Mr. Hodge,
6	that the lawyer believed that believes that chaining oneself
7	violates 2.34, failure to obey a lawful order, (a)(4) and
8	(a)(2), but he will this lawyer, Mr. Myers, will defer to the
9	prosecutors. The prosecutors, meaning Ms. George and
10	Mr. Guerrero, her supervisor, correct? Everything I said so far
11	correct?
12	A Are you asking me a question, sir?
13	Q Yes. I'm trying to do it sluggishly. Me and you are the
14	same. The gist is basically all we get.
15	THE COURT: Maybe is it tough for you to get out
16	the document here? If you want to look at the document
17	THE WITNESS: I just wasn't sure of the exact
18	question he was asking me.
19	THE COURT: If you need the document, just say so.
20	We'll hand the document to you. Don't hesitate.
21	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. This lawyer communicated to
22	Mr. Hodge that he believed the chaining of oneself did violate
23	the $2.34(a)(4)$ and the $2.31(a)(2)$, but he, we, will defer to the
24	prosecutors' assessment of the facts in the particular case as
25	to what charge they proceed with, correct?

I	
1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q So basically Myers Mr. Myers, Solicitor General Myers
3	tossed the ball to Ms. George and Mr. Guerrero, true or false?
4	A I can't answer that question, sir.
5	Q Well, look at the document yourself, as the judge
6	suggested that you do.
7	MR. FELDMAN: May I approach, Judge?
8	THE COURT: Of course.
9	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Over here. And read it slowly. It's
10	hard.
11	A Sir, I don't need to read it. What I'm saying is that I
12	don't think that's my judgment call to say that he punted to
13	the prosecutor.
14	Q Okay. How were you told that? How do you, sir, you
15	judge By the way, you doing a lot of judging here, "yes" or
16	"no"?
17	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevance.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	MR. FELDMAN: I withdraw the question.
20	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) How do you understand the statement by
21	Mr. Myers, Esquire, that, quote, While we believe that chaining
22	oneself violates 36 CFR 2.34(a)(4) and 36 CFR 2.31(a)(2), we
23	will defer to the one important thing to the prosecutors
24	in the particular case, that is bunting
25	THE COURT: I thought he used the word "punting."

I	
1	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) That's punting, kicking it down to them,
2	"yes" or "no"?
3	A I looked at it as he gave me advice and he said the
4	prosecutor can weigh in when he or she feels like.
5	Q So how did so you're saying under oath that the
6	statement, quote, We will defer to the prosecutor's assessment
7	of the facts of the particular case as to what charge they
8	proceed with does not kick it down to them, "yes" or "no"?
9	MS. GEORGE: Objection, asked and answered.
10	THE COURT: You know, there's another reason to
11	sustain it. You're asking him to accept the characterization
12	he doesn't want to swallow. What he's saying is, as he
13	understands the document, is what you read suggests to me is
14	this is a general counsel within an agency giving, as he must,
15	this guidance to the police officer but warning the officer
16	that the ultimate call is the U.S. Attorney's Office.
17	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: That's standard protocol in this town,
19	for reasons you can understand.
20	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, sir. In our town, too.
21	THE COURT: In everyone's.
22	MR. FELDMAN: In the United States.
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. Now, also, when he says, quote,
25	And if a prosecutor elects to go forward with some other charge,

I	
1	their changing the charge cannot negate the legality of the
2	officer's initial charge.
3	You go along with that?
4	A I'm not sure what you're asking me to go along. Why
5	don't you ask Mr. Myers.
6	Q You agree with that, "yes" or "no," or you don't know?
7	A All I know is he gave me advice on what charges are to
8	MR. FELDMAN: Move to strike as nonresponsive.
9	THE COURT: I think he's struggling with it, and I
10	think I understand why he's struggling with it. I mean,
11	he's he sought guidance and he got it, and he was warned,
12	however, that the guidance was limited because the ultimate
13	call would be by the U.S. Attorney.
14	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Fair enough. I beat the horse.
15	One moment, Judge, about this.
16	(PAUSE.)
17	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were you involved in the arrest of
18	O'Orianka Kilcher?
19	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
20	THE COURT: See if he can lay a foundation.
21	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) The Peruvian lady that was arrested
22	I'm sorry.
23	Are you familiar with this woman, O'Orianka Kilcher,
24	she doused herself with oil? That was pretty bad.
25	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.

I	1
1	THE COURT: He's going to say do you know
2	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Are you familiar with what happened in
3	the same time the same location as the instant case the night
4	of Osama bin Ladin's death?
5	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay. I'll take it subject to a motion
7	to strike. Are you at all familiar with it?
8	THE WITNESS: Am I familiar with what happened at
9	the White House the night of Osama
10	THE COURT: No, more specifically. There is
11	something about a woman named O'Orianka Kilcher.
12	MR. FELDMAN: I withdrew that.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. FELDMAN: I withdraw that, Judge. I'm talking
15	about Osama bin Ladin's death.
16	THE COURT: All right. Were you on duty the evening
17	of in which the President announced that Osama bin Ladin
18	was dead?
19	THE WITNESS: No, I was not there at the White
20	House.
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Did you see it, pictures of it?
23	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Did you any of your guys, people

under you involved in it? 1 2 MS. GEORGE: Objection, vague as to "guys" and 3 relevancy. MR. FELDMAN: He called them guys. His guys. 4 5 THE COURT: All right. Did the Park police have 6 anything to do with any arrests that were made or not made 7 that evening? Obviously, the Park police would be on duty at the White House the evening that occurred; is that right? 8 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 10 THE COURT: Thank you. 11 Ο (BY MR. FELDMAN) And are you aware, "yes" or "no," under 12 oath, are you aware if any arrests whatsoever were made the 13 night that Osama bin Ladin was announced to be dead by President 14 Obama? 15 I don't know there was actually an arrest. А Did you see the pictures or the newscast of that great 16 Q 17 night? 18 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Sustained. 20 Well, I'm going to show him something. MR. FELDMAN: Maybe that will refresh his recollection. 21 22 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, he didn't say he didn't 23 remember. The Court sustained the objection. 24 THE COURT: That's right. He didn't say he didn't 25 remember it.

1	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Oh. Are you saying under oath you don't
2	remember that night?
3	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
4	MR. FELDMAN: Goes to credibility, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Hold on just a second. You're trying to
6	establish that on the evening of the death of Osama bin Ladin
7	events occurred at the White House.
8	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, sir.
9	THE COURT: And on the premise of that, as you
10	indicated in an earlier occasion, you're going to attempt to
11	show that the decision made to arrest your client and the
12	other 12 or 13 people was different from the decision not to
13	arrest what occurred at the White House.
14	MR. FELDMAN: Precisely.
15	THE COURT: I've got it.
16	MR. FELDMAN: I understand.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) All right. Let's go to the issue about,
19	did you ever look in these people's pockets to see if they had
20	keys to the handcuffs?
21	A I did not.
22	Q Did you see any of your guys, to your knowledge, do that?
23	A I trusted my sergeant took appropriate measures to try
24	and take the handcuffs off.
25	Q Did you ask anybody if they had keys to the handcuffs?

1	A I did not.
2	Q Did you know if any of your guys asked if they had keys
3	to the handcuffs?
4	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, objection at this point in
5	time. We considering a defense of an impossibility now?
6	THE COURT: I will listen to it now. I'll strike
7	it, all of this testimony. We just want to get the Lieutenant
8	to finish his testimony.
9	And I think your last question, if I remember the
10	question, is do you remember if anyone under your command
11	asked any of the people who had chained themselves to the
12	White House whether they had keys to the handcuffs for which
13	they were wearing.
14	THE WITNESS: Can I explain this in not a "yes" or
15	"no"?
16	THE COURT: Certainly.
17	THE WITNESS: Once again, I trust my sergeant when I
18	tell him to put people under arrest and people are chained or
19	handcuffed to the fence, that he's going to take the easiest
20	measure, and if using a handcuff key to take off the handcuffs
21	is the easiest thing, I would imagine that's what he would
22	have done.
23	THE COURT: But you don't recall any discussion with
24	the sergeant to that effect.
25	THE WITNESS: There is no reason for me to.

I	
1	THE COURT: Explain something to me that I don't
2	know. Each set of handcuffs is unique and has a distinct key?
3	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	THE WITNESS: My handcuff key right here would
6	open unless it's like some different kind of handcuff, but
7	the standard handcuff that's out there, any handcuff key will
8	open.
9	THE COURT: I didn't know that. Thank you.
10	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Did you ask them to or help them.
11	Let me Compound question. Obviously, if they're still
12	handcuffed to the fence, it's impossible for them to leave the
13	masonry, true or false?
14	A False.
15	Q How can they leave the masonry if they're still
16	handcuffed to the fence?
17	A They could have asked us to uncuff them and then they
18	would have been escorted out.
19	Q Well, did you ask them to try to open it?
20	A No, I did not.
21	Q Did any of your guys or your sergeant ask them to try to
22	open it?
23	A Again, I wasn't there when the I was not standing in
24	the immediate vicinity when they effectuated the arrest.
25	Q You were in the same place that you were when you were on

I	I I
1	the bullhorn, correct?
2	A Yes, but I was not in the immediate vicinity to listen to
3	a private conversation at a close distance, so what my
4	sergeant asked each particular person that was unchained from
5	the sidewalk, I don't know.
6	Q What's your sergeant's name again?
7	A Sergeant Mark Adamichik.
8	Q Adamichik?
9	A Yes, sir.
10	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, I'm going to have to I don't
11	know if I'm out of order here, but Sergeant Adamichik was here
12	this morning and he told me he had personal, very serious
13	issues, and I excused him. I'm going to have to re-subpoena
14	the man.
15	THE COURT: We'll do it. We'll take care of it.
16	MR. FELDMAN: My mistake, Judge.
17	THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.
18	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) So to your personal knowledge, no effort
19	was made to render it possible for my client to leave the
20	premises other other than the than somebody cutting them
21	with bolts, with a bolt cutter, correct?
22	A No, that's not what I'm saying.
23	Q What other efforts, other what other actions or
24	efforts or causes were effectuated by the police to render it
25	possible for my client to leave the fence without the police

I	
1	having to resort to use a bolt cutter?
2	A Again, once if you're talking before the arrest or you
3	talking during the arrest, sir?
4	Q Before the arrest.
5	A Before the arrest, when we cleared the White House
6	sidewalk, if any one of them had wanted to leave, any verbal
7	or nonverbal indication to any police officer would have
8	prompted us releasing them and allowing them to go their way.
9	Q Why didn't you just ask them to please well, tell your
10	sergeant to ask them please get out of here? Why didn't you
11	say, Unlock yourself and get out of here? Why not?
12	A Sir, we followed a standard procedure.
13	Q Is it the Eichmann defense?
14	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Why didn't you just say, Guys, do you
17	have the keys? Or why didn't you try to open In your
18	opinion, is it easier to use your own key to open up a handcuff
19	than to use a bolt cutter, "yes" or "no," or that's beyond your
20	purview?
21	MS. GEORGE: Object, Your Honor, argumentative.
22	THE COURT: No. I think you agree it's easier to
23	use the key than the bolt cutter?
24	THE WITNESS: I agree with that.
25	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) It's safer?

1	A Yes.
2	Q It's less threatening to the public safety, including the
3	demonstrator's safety and your safety?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Or your guys' safety? But the decision was made by
6	someone else not to do it the safe way, "yes" or "no"?
7	A I believed that my sergeant made the decision to remove
8	the handcuffs in the safest manner possible.
9	Q You just said, the judge just asked you, wouldn't it be
10	safer to use the key?
11	A Sir, you didn't let me finish my answer.
12	Q Well, were you lying when you spoke to the judge?
13	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
14	THE COURT: Sustained. Sustained. Come on, let's
15	go.
16	A Again, it's simple. Once I told my sergeant to
17	release to uncuff the person and get them off, I trust that
18	my sergeant took the appropriate measures possible to get them
19	off the fence and place them under arrest. I imagine if he
20	was able to simply uncuff the handcuff, that's what he would
21	have done.
22	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) But he didn't.
23	A He didn't, so my reasoning and my trust in him is that it
24	was not possible to uncuff unlock the handcuff.
25	Q But you never saw anybody try?

1	A I'm saying that
2	Q "Yes" or "no." I don't want I'm asking the question,
3	sir.
4	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
5	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Did you see anybody try?
6	A Sir, I was not in the immediate vicinity to listen to the
7	conversations that they had.
8	Q I didn't say "listen." Did you see anybody try?
9	A And I'm trying to answer the question.
10	Q No. The question is see with your eyes anybody take a
11	key and try to stick it in the hole to try to open it up?
12	"Yes" or "no", did you see that, or you don't know or "yes"
13	or "no" or I don't know? Are you able to answer "yes" or "no"
14	or I don't know?
15	A Sir, I did not see someone use a handcuff key because I
16	was not in the immediate vicinity of them.
17	Q So you're too far away to see one human being take out a
18	key, stick it in a hole and open it up; is that your testimony
19	under oath, sir?
20	A What I'm saying is, no, it's not too far away from me to
21	possibly see that.
22 23	Q But you didn't see it?
23	A What I'm saying is that I didn't see it. It doesn't mean
24	it didn't happen.
25	Q So you never discussed this with anybody else both after

1	it happened, after this incident about this, about this issue
2	with the handcuff key? The judge was asking the questions
3	about that.
4	A Did I ever discuss why? Well, my sergeant told me later
5	that there was glue or something in the handcuffs.
6	Q There was glue in the handcuffs?
7	A Or something in the handcuffs. There was glue.
8	Q Uh-huh. What kind of glue?
9	A I don't know.
10	Q Did you save that for evidence, or any of your guys save
11	this alleged glue as evidence?
12	A No.
13	Q Wouldn't it be correct procedure in a potential tampering
14	case to save the glue in the handcuffs, or is that out of your
15	purview?
16	A No, I'd agree with you, sir.
17	Q Thank you.
18	MR. FELDMAN: I would ask for a missing evidence
19	charge, Judge, but we'll get to that.
20	THE COURT: All right.
21	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Do you have the handcuffs?
22	A No, sir.
23	Q Do any of your guys have the handcuffs, or your sergeant,
24	if you know?
25	A No, sir.

1	Q	You don't know it or they don't have them?
2	A	No, I'm saying that we don't have the handcuffs, sir.
3	Q	What did you do with them?
4	A	They were thrown away at the scene.
5	Q	Was my client threatened?
6	А	At what point, sir?
7	Q	Any point.
8	А	You could characterize it when he was taking out, off the
9	fenc	ce after he was uncuffed
10	Q	Was that after he was arrested?
11	A	You said it at the point.
12	Q	I withdraw the question.
13		What point was he arrested?
14	A	He was arrested well, he was under arrest when I gave
15	the	indication to Sergeant Adamichik to arrest the people.
16	Q	Before that point, was my client threatening?
17 18	A	No.
18	Q	No. Was before that point, was my client Give me the
19		ute. Let me go real slow here, okay. Fire fighting
20	oper	ations are not involved here, correct?
21	A	That's right, sir. Search and rescue operations are not involved here,
22	Q	Search and rescue operations are not involved here,
23	righ	ut?
24 25	A	No.
25	Q	No. Wildlife management, except the horse, right? That's a

I		
1	joke. No wildlife suffered here, right?	
2	A No, sir.	
3	Q Okay. So none of these things were present, correct?	
4	A No, sir.	
5	Q So, therefore, none of these things posed a threat to	
6	public safety, correct?	
7	A That's right, sir.	
8	Q And none of these things posed a threat to law	
9	enforcement actions, correct?	
10	A No.	
11	Q And none of these things posed a threat to emergency	
12	operations?	
13	A No.	
14	Q And there was no threat to public safety by my client	
15	until after I'm sorry, before he was arrested. You just	
16	said it.	
17	A Was there no threat to public safety?	
18	Q You just said my client was not threatening before he was	
19	arrested. You just said that, right?	
20	A When? What you're saying is that there was no search and	
21	rescue, there was no horses, there was no wildlife, there was	
22	no threat to public safety. Well, he could have been a threat	
23	to himself, handcuffing himself to the fence.	
24	Q So, did you think he was going to commit suicide or	
25	something?	

1	A No.
2	Q Uh-huh. So he tried to commit plastic surgery on this
3	statute?
4	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Sustained.
6	MR. FELDMAN: I withdraw the question, Judge.
7	That's for this court to decide. Okay.
8	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Now, did Okay. Did he do anything
9	that Choi, that posed a threat to that involved a threat
10	to public safety or park resources?
11	A Aside from handcuffing himself to the fence, no.
12	Q And that, you admitted, very frankly and honestly, thank
13	you, that it might have caused a problem to himself, to his
14	safety?
15	A It could be a problem in general.
16	Q Could be, to himself?
17	A To himself.
18	Q Now I'm sorry?
19	A Yes, to himself, yeah, could be a danger.
20	Q To who?
21	A To himself.
22	Q You know he's a lieutenant; you know that. You knew
23	exactly who he was, right?
24	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
25	THE COURT: Well, you knew at the time he was

1	arrested he was wearing Army camouflage and a beret.
2	THE WITNESS: I knew what uniform he was wearing.
3	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Did you know who he was?
4	A No.
5	Q Did you stated under oath that you didn't know that he
6	was a public figure?
7	A I'm stating under oath I have no idea who he was.
8	Q As you sit here today, you still have no idea who he is,
9	if he's a public figure or not; is that true or false?
10	A What do you define as a public figure?
11	Q Please.
12	THE COURT: As a person who's, by his action,
13	interjected himself into the public discourse about political
14	matters or social matters or economic matters.
15	THE WITNESS: Well
16	THE COURT: For example, a man who runs for office
17	is obviously a public figure.
18	THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
19	THE COURT: The President is a public figure, those
20	kinds of people.
21	THE WITNESS: I know now that he's involved in
22	involved in something. He's involved in his cause.
23	THE COURT: In his cause. Okay.
24	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Are you stating under oath that
25	Mr. Hodge did not brief you or discuss with you or any of your

1	guys or any of your, you know, co-associates, law enforcement
2	people, there was not a buzz who Mr. Choi was?
3	A In the e-mail that I originally got did mention that
4	Daniel Choi was one of the people, but I had no idea who he
5	was.
6	Q And you're stating under oath that When was the first
7	time that you realized he may have interjected himself into
8	the public discourse?
9	A Who?
10	Q Choi.
11	A When was the first time Say that again, sir.
12	Q Let me when was the first time that you realized that
13	he may have interjected himself, to use the judges's word,
14	into the public discourse?
15	MR. FELDMAN: Got it right, Judge?
16	THE COURT: Sure.
17	A I have no idea when he interjected himself into public
18	discourse.
19	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) That's not the question. When was the
20	first time you realized that he interjected himself into the
21	public discourse?
22	 A November 15th. Q At what time? A Well, around 1400, 1430 when he showed up at the White
23	Q At what time?
23 24 25	A Well, around 1400, 1430 when he showed up at the White
25	House.

1	Q Other than him showing up at the White House that day,
2	it's your testimony under oath that you never realized he
3	interjected himself into the public discourse before that day
4	and never interjected himself into the public discourse after
5	that day?
6	A Well, I can make the assumption that they named him in
7	the e-mail as someone being arrested, that he did something to
8	gain some attention.
9	Q Before he was arrested?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Uh-huh. And there is a lot of your guys that are
12	ex-military people, correct?
13	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy at
14	this point in time.
15	THE COURT: I think let me see if I understand
16	the question, and then I'll see if it's a legitimate question.
17	Are you aware, of your own personal information,
18	whether many of the members of Park police at one point in
19	their lives served in the United States military?
20	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
21	THE COURT: Let me see if he answers the question.
22	THE WITNESS: Sir, I don't know the statistics.
23	There are people who are military veterans.
24	THE COURT: Does that include yourself?
25	THE WITNESS: Yes, it includes me.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 Ο (BY MR. FELDMAN) Now, you heard my client and his friend 3 say you earned your rank. Quote, they were saying over and over and over again to the much headache of everybody, "They earned 4 5 that rank, don't take it off." "Yes" or "no"? 6 Yes, they said that. А 7 Isn't it true that they were saying that over and Ο Okay. 8 over again after Mr. Hodge ripped off Lieutenant Choi's 9 insignia? 10 Objection, Your Honor, lacks MS. GEORGE: 11 foundation, assumes facts not in evidence. 12 Who's Mr. Hodge? THE COURT: 13 Mr. Hodge is a detective from the Park MR. FELDMAN: 14 department who is going to be testifying here, and rather than 15 calling him out of order, Judge, I wanted to save time, so there is a connection. He's under subpoena. 16 17 THE COURT: All right. Did you see Hodge put his hands on Lieutenant Choi? 18 19 THE WITNESS: I don't have a recollection of that. 20 You're stating that you didn't see (BY MR. FELDMAN) 0 21 Detective Hodge rip off Lieutenant Choi's insignia? 22 MS. GEORGE: Objection, asked and answered. 23 No, I think that's slightly different. THE COURT: 24 Did there ever come a point in time where you saw 25 this man named Hodge move towards Choi and pull anything from

his clothing? 1 2 THE WITNESS: I don't know who searched Mr. Choi, 3 but --4 THE COURT: You didn't hear my question. 5 THE WITNESS: All right. No, I did not personally 6 see. 7 THE COURT: Look at your own uniform, all right. Ι 8 imagine that the things I'm looking at are sewn on, right? 9 THE WITNESS: No. These are pinned on, and that's 10 why --11 THE COURT: This one over here. 12 THE WITNESS: This is on -- this is pinned on, and I 13 see where this is going. He was processed. So if he had 14 something like this on, it was probably taken off. I didn't 15 see it happen. 16 THE COURT: All right. You didn't see it happen. 17 That's all we have to know. Hodge is going to be here 18 eventually. 19 Ο (BY MR. FELDMAN) Do you know why they were chanting, 20 "They earned that rank; don't take it off"? MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 Α No. 24 (BY MR. FELDMAN) No idea. Ex-military, sir, is that 0 25 true? Is that your testimony under oath?

I	
1	A Sir, I'm telling you that what they were saying didn't
2	really concern me.
3	Q But you heard it. Maybe you didn't hear it, maybe you
4	didn't hear the words, which is it?
5	A Sir, I've already testified that I heard that.
6	Q Okay. And you heard the words. But you didn't even
7	care. It's your testimony under oath that it didn't I
8	mean, you're a machine and you didn't care that these
9	ex-military people are talking about ranks? Come on.
10	THE COURT: I don't know, is that a question?
11	MR. FELDMAN: Yeah.
12	THE COURT: All right. Were you sensitive to the
13	fact that any of the people who were working under your
14	command had formed any opinion about these demonstrations with
15	reference to the fact that they were military officers or
16	military enlisted persons?
17	THE WITNESS: The only thing I'm sensitive to, sir,
18	is that everyone is treated the same way. Everyone is
19	processed the same way.
20	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
21	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. Did you realize that they were
22	homosexuals, or you didn't even think about it? Which is it?
23	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy.
24	THE COURT: All right.
25	MR. FELDMAN: Content.

I	
1	THE COURT: All right. Were you aware of the fact
2	that given the nature of what they were saying, that they were
3	military personnel who were also homosexual and that's why
4	they were there that day?
5	THE WITNESS: Can I just clarify?
6	MR. FELDMAN: No, answer the question, please.
7	THE COURT: Please, please, let him clarify it.
8	It's my question. Go ahead.
9	THE WITNESS: My only clarification is if the
10	Defense is asking if I knew that these 13 people were
11	homosexual, is that what I'm being asked?
12	THE COURT: Yes.
13	THE WITNESS: No, I had no idea if they were
14	homosexual or not.
15	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) And you didn't Let me ask you, what's
16	your highest grade of education?
17	A I have a bachelor of science.
18	Q And I bet you did pretty good, huh?
19	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You have any education after
22	that?
23	A Yes, through my police force.
24	Q And you read that document by Myers, Esquire, correct?
25	A Yes.

 Q And you understood the gist of it, right? A Yes, sir. Q Okay. The question is a very simple question. Let r 	
3 Q Okay. The question is a very simple question. Let r	
	ne
4 just show the report. And I asked you, (reading) In	
5 consideration of the relevant circumstances surrounding th	ne
6 demonstration, is it your testimony under oath that you d	idn't
7 realize that these were military personnel, gay military	
8 personnel protesting the "Don't ask, don't tell policy,"	"yes"
9 or "no"?	
10 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.	
11 THE COURT: Overruled.	
12 A Sir, you just asked me a different question now.	
13 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Answer that question. I was on th	ne
14 debate team.	
15 THE COURT: Let him finish. Now the question is	5
16 Yes.	
17 THE WITNESS: Now it's a different question.	
18 THE COURT: Indeed it is. You're quite correct	
19 Please answer, if you can.	
20 A You're asking me if I knew that this group was protes	sting
21 about gays in the military and the policies.	
22 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) That's right.	
23 A Yes, I knew that.	
Q Okay. And you know, do you have any friends that are	9
25 homosexuals?	

I	
1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	(LAUGHTER.)
3	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Do you have any religious beliefs that,
4	or do you follow any religious culture that says that
5	homosexuality is a sin?
6	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) How do you feel in your heart about
9	homosexuals?
10	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Was my client ever on the sidewalk
13	before he was arrested?
14	A Well, I did not personally see him on the sidewalk, but
15	he had to walk on the sidewalk to get to it.
16	Q Obviously, obviously. Okay. You know, before he started
17	engaging in behavior that may have been offensive that formed
18	the underlying predicate for the 2.32(2)(a) [sic] arrest, was
19	he on the sidewalk, "yes" or "no"?
20	A Again, it's the same answer I just gave you.
21	Q When you told him through the bullhorn, "Get off the
22	sidewalk," was he on the sidewalk?
23	A He was on the ledge.
24	Q Was he on the sidewalk?
25	A I guess that's a point for law to decide whether that's

I	
1	part of the sidewalk or not.
2	Q Please take note that because the only reason that
3	this court cannot be reversed, with all due respect, is
4	through credibility.
5	MR. FELDMAN: And I'm commenting on that, Judge,
6	right now.
7	THE COURT: So be it. Go ahead.
8	MS. GEORGE: And objection to Mr. Feldman's
9	comments. They are not a matter of a question or law.
10	THE COURT: The objection is sustained. But I
11	think maybe I don't understand something, but to get to
12	that ledge you have to walk on the sidewalk.
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Yes. But before he got to the ledge, he
14	didn't do anything wrong, correct?
15	A I don't know. I wasn't there when he got to the ledge.
16	Q You didn't see him do anything wrong before he got to the
17	ledge?
18	A No, I didn't, sir.
19	Q And nobody reported anything that he did wrong before he
20	got to the ledge?
21	A That's right, sir.
22	Q Okay. So he got to the ledge and start doing stuff
23	wrong, right?
24	A Yes, sir.
25	Q You told him to get off the sidewalk when he was doing

I	
1	something wrong on the ledge, correct?
2	A Yes, sir.
3	Q How can he get off the sidewalk if he's not on the
4	sidewalk?
5	MS. GEORGE: Argumentative.
6	MR. FELDMAN: That was terrible. I'm trying to
7	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) How can he get off the sidewalk if he's
8	only doing the bad stuff on the ledge?
9	A Because I've given him opportunity to leave.
10	Q But you told them to get off the sidewalk when he's on
11	the ledge, correct?
12	A Yes, sir.
13	Q How can you get off the sidewalk when you're not on the
14	sidewalk?
15	A Point taken.
16	Q Thank you. You supervise the sergeant, right?
17	A What sergeant are you speaking of?
18	Q Adamichik?
19	A Yes, sir.
20	Q Okay. And since you supervise him, isn't it a fact that
21	you're responsible for anything that happens or fails to
22	happen?
23	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
24	THE COURT: I think I can take I mean, if that's
25	a question of law

I	1
1	MR. FELDMAN: Judicial notice.
2	THE COURT: Well, I don't know if it's judicial
3	notice, but if it's a matter of law, the agency relationship
4	is there. I'm aware of it.
5	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Thank you. What the judge is saying is
6	right on, right?
7	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
8	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) You agree with what the judge just said?
9	THE COURT: You don't have to agree with me. You
10	can disagree with me.
11	A There is nothing I see what you're saying. If I give
12	the sergeant an order and he follows it, yes, there could be
13	liability on me, but there's also built into our things, if I
14	give him an order and it's not lawful, then he's not
15	responsible to follow it, or if I give him an order and he
16	does it in an unlawful manner, well, that's not the liability
17	on me.
18	THE COURT: Very well done. Thank you.
19	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Now, if my client wasn't committing
20	discon, you said he was committing tampering?
21	A Sir, Mr. Randy Myers
22	Q Mr. Myers said he would be
23	A Said there were two charges that could fall under, one of
24	which is disorderly conduct.
25	Q And the other?

 A Was under tampering. Q Tampering with what? A The fence. Q Tampering with the fence. How can you tamper with the fence? A Well, isn't it MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	I	I I
 A The fence. Q Tampering with the fence. How can you tamper with the fence? A Well, isn't it MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MR. FELDMAN: These guys. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	1	A Was under tampering.
 Q Tampering with the fence. How can you tamper with the fence? A Well, isn't it MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	2	Q Tampering with what?
fence? A Well, isn't it MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	3	A The fence.
 A Well, isn't it MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	4	Q Tampering with the fence. How can you tamper with the
 MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	5	fence?
 conclusion. THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	6	A Well, isn't it
 HE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers. MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	7	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Judge, calls for a legal
 MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MR. FELDMAN: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	8	conclusion.
11 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of 12 the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, 13 correct? 14 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 15 THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? 16 MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know 17 about it? 18 THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last 19 week? 20 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 22 THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what 23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	9	THE COURT: Maybe you'll subpoena Myers.
12 the recent more recent arrest of my client last week, 13 correct? 14 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 15 THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? 16 MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know 17 about it? 18 THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last 19 week? 20 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 22 THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what 23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	10	MR. FELDMAN: Good idea, Judge. Thank you.
 correct? MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	11	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. You were the officer in charge of
 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	12	the recent more recent arrest of my client last week,
 15 THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from? 16 MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know 17 about it? 18 THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last 19 week? 20 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 22 THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what 23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	13	correct?
 MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know about it? THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last week? MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	14	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
 17 about it? 18 THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last 19 week? 20 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 22 THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what 23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	15	THE COURT: Wow, where did that come from?
18 THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last 19 week? 20 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 22 THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what 23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	16	MR. FELDMAN: That was last week. You didn't know
19 week? 20 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. 22 THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what 23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	17	about it?
 MR. FELDMAN: These guys. MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	18	THE COURT: No idea. You arrested him again last
 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman. 	19	week?
THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what in the world is going on before I can rule. There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	20	MR. FELDMAN: These guys.
23 in the world is going on before I can rule. 24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	21	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
24 There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.	22	THE COURT: Please, let me see if I understand what
	23	in the world is going on before I can rule.
	24	There was a subsequent arrest of this gentleman.
25 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.	25	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

lid
ed
the
ch into
vself,
arrest
ing?
the
s put
the
.1
1
_s
: I

take it you issued a -- it's the Park police issued a summons 1 for him to appear in superior court as opposed to this court; 2 3 is that what happened? THE WITNESS: That's correct, sir. 4 5 THE COURT: Thank you. 6 (BY MR. FELDMAN) Please tell this honorable court what Q 7 was the content, if you know, of the arrest of the protest for which he was arrested last week or --8 9 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy. THE COURT: I'm sorry, I don't understand. 10 The 11 conduct meaning why did the demonstrators come? 12 MR. FELDMAN: Yeah, the cause. 13 THE COURT: Could you tell why these people came to 14 the White House that time that led to his arrest? Was it 15 any -- were they shouting, were they holding signs, could you hear them? 16 17 THE WITNESS: Yes. 18 THE COURT: What were they saying? 19 THE WITNESS: It was a Tar Sands group against oil 20 being piped. 21 (BY MR. FELDMAN) Environmental stuff? Q 22 А Yes. 23 THE COURT: Tar Sands? 24 MR. FELDMAN: Environmental against the pipeline, 25 Judge.

I	
1	THE COURT: Okay.
2	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) So, let me get this straight. Through
3	environmental stuff, these guys get a ticket, but for gay stuff,
4	they have to go through the system and face federal charges and
5	up to six months in jail, true or false?
6	MS. GEORGE: Objection.
7	THE COURT: Well, it's a rhetorical question. I
8	think I got the point.
9	MR. FELDMAN: I think you got it, Judge.
10	Thank you very much, Your Honor. Thank you.
11	THE COURT: You're welcome.
12	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Officer LaChance
13	Lieutenant LaChance.
14	THE WITNESS: You said my name right, thank you.
15	MR. FELDMAN: Lieutenant LaChance. Thank you, sir.
16	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MS. GEORGE:
18	Q Lieutenant LaChance, in reference to Mr. Feldman's
19	questions about Mr. Choi's sexual orientation, do you know his
20	sexual orientation?
21	A No.
22	Q Did you have any idea what it may or may not have been on
23	November 15, 2010?
24	A No.
25	Q Did you ever direct any of the officers under your

I	
1	command on November 15^{th} 2010 to arrest Mr. Choi or any of
2	the individuals on the ledge because of their sexual
3	orientation?
4	A No, I did not.
5	Q Do you have any legal training or formal training in
6	analyzing the law?
7	MR. FELDMAN: Objection. He did a pretty good job,
8	Judge.
9	THE COURT: I would like to hear his answer to the
10	question. The objection is overruled.
11	A In the police academy we're given multiple classes on the
12	law, and then every year for our in-service requirements, we
13	have to do legal updates.
14	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) You indicated on direct examination that
15	you have been making arrests at the White House sidewalk, I
16	believe you said from November from March 2010 till
17	November 2010?
18	MR. FELDMAN: Beyond the scope of cross, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: No, I think he I'll let him go back.
20	Go ahead.
21	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Is that correct?
22	A Since I became a lieutenant, I've been put since March
23	2010, been put in charge of I'm sorry, March 2009, stand
24	corrected, that's when I became lieutenant. That's when I
25	started becoming in charge of things like that when it was

1	during my shift or I was directed to.
2	Q And when you say "things like that," what are you
3	referring to?
4	A I'm sorry. Demonstrations that could involve mass
5	arrests.
6	Q At the White House sidewalk?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And during that period of time from March 2009 to
9	November 15, 2010, were you involved in any arrests of
10	individuals or groups who actually chained or connected
11	themselves to the White House fence?
12	MR. FELDMAN: Objection, that was asked and answered
13	or attempted to be on direct. She's trying to get a second
14	bite, Judge.
15	THE COURT: I think that's true. Correct me if it's
16	wrong, Ms. George, but I thought he answered unequivocally
17	that he had not had an occasion where anyone had to chain
18	themselves to the White House in that precise period of time.
19	Did I get it wrong?
20	MS. GEORGE: Yes. I didn't ask that question. I'm
21	asking in response to Mr. Feldman's claim of selective
22	prosecution.
23	MR. FELDMAN: What
24	THE COURT: Please, please, just a minute. It was
25	my recollection you asked him about that specific period of

time, and he indicated to us that he said he made over a 1 thousand, and maybe my recollection is poor, but I thought 2 3 your next question was how many of those that involved people chaining themselves to the White House, and he said none. Did 4 5 I get that wrong? 6 MS. GEORGE: I do not believe I asked that 7 subsequent question. He said he's had approximately a thousand arrests at the White House sidewalk. Now he's 8 9 corrected the period of time. Originally he said March 2010 10 to November 2010. Now he's corrected it to expand it that 11 actually he became a lieutenant in March of 2009. 12 But I didn't ask the subsequent question about the 13 nature of the specific arrests of those thousand. THE COURT: I'm sorry. I have a different 14 15 recollection, but I don't trust my recollection. I'm a lot older than you. What did you want to ask? 16 17 I would like to ask him whether he MS. GEORGE: 18 arrested any individuals during that period of time that in addition to November 15th, 2010, that have chained 19 20 themselves to the White House fence. 21 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have. 22 (BY MS. GEORGE) And could you please tell the Court on 0 23 how many occasions -- how many arrests you were involved in before November 15th, 2010? 24 25 At least three. А

1	
1	Q Okay. And were those three arrests and let me ask
2	this. Are those three arrests all related to individuals who
3	have connected themselves in some form or fashion to the White
4	House fence?
5	A Two of them, and then another one to the lamppost.
6	Q Okay. So the one is the lamppost and one is the White
7	House fence?
8	A Two on the White House fence.
9	Q Let's deal with the lamppost. Was it one individual or
10	several individuals?
11	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, that's totally irrelevant, the
12	lamppost
13	THE COURT: Well, okay. That objection will be
14	overruled. So, two to the fence, one to the lamppost?
15	THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: And they affixed themselves to those
17	things and as a result they had to be taken away?
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. Let's focus on the arrest
21	regarding the lamppost. Was that one individual or several
22	individuals?
23	A That was one individual.
24	Q And what if anything did that individual do to connect
25	him or herself to the lamppost?

1	A He had a heavy-duty chain wrapped around him and the
2	lamppost.
3	Q And which lamppost are you referring to?
4	A The east side lamppost to the direct the first
5	lamppost from the center portion of the sidewalk in the east
6	direction.
7	Q Okay. So the lamppost that you're describing now, let's
8	just go back to November 15, 2010 where you were standing at
9	your cruiser. Could you please just tell the Court the
10	lamppost that you're referring to now in reference to this
11	particular arrest, is it to your left or to your right?
12	A To my left as I'm facing the White House.
13	Q Okay. And could you describe the chain of the person
14	that connected themself with?
15	A It's a heavy-duty chain, thick links.
16	Q Okay. And do you recall what the subject matter of that
17	individual's protest was?
18	MR. FELDMAN: Objection.
19	THE COURT: No. Just "yes" or "no"; do you recall?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes.
21	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. And was it different or the same
22	as the subject matter of the protest on November 15, 2010?
23	A It was different.
24	Q And what if anything do you and any other Park police
25	officers do in reference to that individual chaining

I	
1	themselves chaining him or herself to the light to the
2	east lamppost?
3	A We removed the chain and arrested him.
4	Q And what did you arrest that individual for?
5	A The fail to obey a lawful order.
6	Q Okay. Let's move on to And let me just ask you this:
7	The content of that individual's protest, did you consider
8	that in making a decision to arrest that individual?
9	A No.
10	Q Now, previously you told the Court that there were two
11	other individuals who were arrested and you were involved in
12	their arrest; is that correct?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And those individuals chained themselves to the White
15	House fence, correct?
16	A No.
17	Q What did they do?
18	A One had one of those Kryptonite bright locks with the
19	U-shape wrapped around his neck and on the fence post and the
20	other one just strung his leather pants belt through the
21	fence.
22	Q Okay. Let's talk about the gentleman who used his
23	leather pants belt to connect himself to the White House
24	fence. Did you know the content of his protest?
25	A Yes.

I	I I
1	Q And did that have anything to do with the decision of why
2	you arrested him?
3	A No.
4	MR. FELDMAN: I would object to that, Judge. That's
5	conclusory, you know. That's self-serving conclusion.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Well, were you aware of why he
7	was doing this?
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.
9	THE COURT: All right. Did that have any impact on
10	your decision to arrest him?
11	THE WITNESS: No, sir.
12	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And let's go to the other individual who
13	had the bike lock around his neck, and what portion of the fence
14	did he connect himself to?
15	A The vertical metal bars of the fence, the White House
16	fence.
17	Q Was it on the center portion of the White House sidewalk?
18	A It was in the center portion area, yes.
19	Q And where was the individual who used the pants belt,
20	what portion of the sidewalk was he located in when he
21	connected himself to the White House fence with his pants
22	belt?
23	A In the center portion.
24	Q Now, going back to the individual with the bike lock, did
25	you know why that individual was protesting?

1	A Yes.
2	Q Was it for the same or different reason than why the
3	group on November 15 th , 2010 was protesting?
4	A Different reason.
5	Q Did you weigh the reason of that protest into your
6	decision to arrest the individual with the bike lock?
7	A No.
8	Q What was the individual with the bike lock arrested for?
9	A Fail to obey.
10	Q What?
11	A A lawful order, ma'am.
12	Q Now, I'm going to ask a question in reference to the
13	individual with the bike lock, the individual with the pants
14	belt, and the individual that was connected to the east fence
15	post. Did you also have other officers similarly working with
16	you as you had on November 15 th , 2010?
17	A Yes.
18	Q And on each of those three occasions, did the Park police
19	employ or use the mass arrest procedure to arrest those three
20	individuals?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And during each of those arrests, did you ever direct any
23	of your officers And let me ask this as a foundational
24	question. Did on each one of those arrests, the fence
25	post, east fence post, the pants belt and the bike lock, were

I	
1	you the officer that had the authority to give the arrest
2	authority?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And did you direct, pursuant to that authority, any of
5	your officers participating in the mass arrest procedure to
6	arrest those three individuals because of the content of what
7	they were protesting about?
8	A No.
9	Q And did you ever order any of those officers to consider
10	the content of the protest of the bike lock individual, the
11	pants belt individual and the individual that was connected to
12	the east fence post?
13	A No.
14	Q Did you know dealing with the individual who had
15	who connected himself with the pants belt, did you know the
16	sexual orientation of that individual when you gave the order
17	to arrest that person?
18	A No.
19	Q Did you know the sexual orientation of the individual
20	that connected himself with the bike lock to the fence when
21	you gave the order to arrest him?
22	A No.
23	Q Did you know the sexual orientation of the individual who
24	connected himself to the fence post with the heavy chain when
25	you gave the order to arrest him?

1	A No.
2	Q In reference to the individual with the heavy chain that
3	was connected to the east fence post, how did the Park police
4	disconnect him from the fence post?
5	A To the lamppost.
6	Q A lamppost, I'm sorry.
7	A The Metropolitan Police came and used a electic grinder
8	or a grinder to cut the links.
9	Q In reference to the individual with the pants belt, how
10	did you effectuate his release from the White House fence?
11	A I believe they just undid his pant belt.
12	Q And in reference to the individual who was connected to
13	the White House fence with the bike lock, how did the Park
14	police disconnect him from the White House fence?
15	A Again, we used the Metropolitan Police and we had to
16	they had to use a grinder to cut through the lock.
17	MS. GEORGE: No further questions at this time, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, very briefly, please, and I'm
21	not going to belabor this. I have something a little new and
22	it may be beyond. I think you might like to hear it. Stop me
23	if you don't.
24	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
25	BY MR. FELDMAN:

I	
1	Q Remember the lady that I'm going to call her the Jesus
2	lady, the red lady, the lady in red; remember her?
3	MS. GEORGE: Objection.
4	THE COURT: We saw it in the video, a woman, I saw
5	her. She seemed to have some sort of amplification device.
6	She was dressed in red, but as we discussed, she was talking,
7	from what I could tell, about God and maybe she used the word
8	"Jesus."
9	THE WITNESS: Yes, I remember her.
10	THE COURT: That's what he's talking about.
11	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Right. Did you arrest her?
12	A No.
13	Q Was she on the sidewalk?
14	A No, she wasn't.
15	Q Where was she?
16	A She was outside in the perimeter.
17	THE COURT: She was across the street.
18	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) When you were saying if you don't get
19	off the sidewalk, to my client, did you have any focus on the
20	lady in red?
21	A No.
22	Q Was that lady committing disorderly conduct?
23	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy.
24	MR. FELDMAN: It's selective prosecution, Judge.
25	THE COURT: Let me see if I understand something.
-	

1	How did you Did you see the lady in red?
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	THE COURT: Did it occur to you to arrest her for
4	any reason?
5	THE WITNESS: No.
6	THE COURT: Explain to counsel why you did not.
7	THE WITNESS: My concern is with the White House
8	sidewalk and the center portion of it, which is the laws we're
9	enforcing.
10	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Weren't there lots of other people on
11	the sidewalk who were yelling?
12	MS. GEORGE: Objection. At what point in time?
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) At the point before my client was
14	arrested after you got there?
15	THE COURT: Let's be careful. You've got two
16	sidewalks. Here you got a sidewalk across the street, which
17	is where and then we have the White House sidewalk itself,
18	all right.
19	Now, in the video I saw people on both sides of that
20	street. So, his question Go ahead, please, Counsel, ask
21	your question.
22	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there any people on the sidewalk
23	near the White House?
24	MS. GEORGE: Objection, which sidewalk?
25	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Near the White House.

 A Sir, could THE COURT: Can we call that the White House sidewalk? That is, let's call the sidewalk that's in front of the White House where the events here took place, at least the area from the police officer's lieutenant's cruiser to the fence, let's call that the White House sidewalk, and let's call the other sidewalk the other sidewalk, okay. Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House sidewalk? A At what point, sir? Q Before my client was arrested after you gave the
<pre>3 sidewalk? That is, let's call the sidewalk that's in front of 4 the White House where the events here took place, at least the 5 area from the police officer's lieutenant's cruiser to the 6 fence, let's call that the White House sidewalk, and let's 7 call the other sidewalk the other sidewalk, okay. 8 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House 9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?</pre>
4 the White House where the events here took place, at least the 5 area from the police officer's lieutenant's cruiser to the 6 fence, let's call that the White House sidewalk, and let's 7 call the other sidewalk the other sidewalk, okay. 8 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House 9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?
<pre>5 area from the police officer's lieutenant's cruiser to the 6 fence, let's call that the White House sidewalk, and let's 7 call the other sidewalk the other sidewalk, okay. 8 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House 9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?</pre>
<pre>6 fence, let's call that the White House sidewalk, and let's 7 call the other sidewalk the other sidewalk, okay. 8 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House 9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?</pre>
<pre>7 call the other sidewalk the other sidewalk, okay. 8 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House 9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?</pre>
8 Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Were there people on the White House 9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?
<pre>9 sidewalk? 10 A At what point, sir?</pre>
10 A At what point, sir?
11 Q Before my client was arrested after you gave the
12 warnings?
13 A Before your client was arrested but after the warnings?
14 Q Right.
15 A Was there anybody else on the White House sidewalk?
16 Q Yes.
17 A Yes.
18 Q What were they doing?
19 A They were police officers doing their official duty.
20 Q Besides them?
21 A No.
22 Q How about people on the other sidewalk, as the judge
23 dubbed it?
24 MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy to the charge.
25 That's not the White House sidewalk.

1	
1	THE COURT: That's not the White House sidewalk, but
2	the other sidewalk, the one that was in fact behind you.
3	THE WITNESS: On Lafayette Park.
4	THE COURT: In Lafayette Park. Were there people
5	yelling or screaming with or without amplification, were they
6	shouting or doing anything else?
7	THE WITNESS: Yes.
8	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Including Captain James Petrieangelo,
9	correct?
10	A I don't know who that gentleman is, unless you're talking
11	about are you talking about the tall
12	Q The tall guy with the black beret.
13	A Okay. If you're saying that's his name, yes.
14	Q You're telling us under oath you don't know who that guy
15	is?
16	A I know I identified a man in uniform. You said he was
17	Captain Jim. I'm
18	Q Are you on Facebook?
19	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor. Could you
20	please let him
21	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Do you ever Google stuff?
22	MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	MR. FELDMAN: He's lying. That's the relevancy.
25	THE COURT: Counsel, let me see if I can explain

something. You showed the lieutenant two photographs. 1 2 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. 3 THE COURT: And you said that's Captain Jim. Right. 4 MR. FELDMAN: 5 THE COURT: And then you proceeded to tell us his 6 full name. 7 MR. FELDMAN: Right. THE COURT: It's my understanding that that was the 8 9 first time the lieutenant learned that man's name. 10 MR. FELDMAN: That's what he said. I submit he's 11 not credible. 12 THE COURT: All right. 13 MR. FELDMAN: That's for this court and only this 14 court to decide. 15 THE COURT: All right. 16 MR. FELDMAN: But if you don't have a lawyer who 17 tries to cross-examine somebody, there's no effective way, 18 Judge. 19 THE COURT: I understand. But the point I'm trying 20 to -- you're trying to get the Lieutenant to say something 21 because you do not believe, or you would ask me not to believe 22 that in open court, when he saw the photo, that was the first 23 time he learned it from you and you alone that that man's name 24 was Jim Petrieangelo. 25 MR. FELDMAN: Perfectly stated.

1		THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
2	Q (BY	MR. FELDMAN) Is that the truth or is that what you
3	practiced	rehearsing saying?
4		MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, argumentative.
5		THE COURT: It's argumentative.
6		Is that the truth?
7	A All	right. So what you're saying is did I know who
8	Captain J	im, the man I identified was before that day?
9	Q (BY	MR. FELDMAN) Right.
10	A Iha	d no idea who he was.
11	Q Doy	ou ever Google people?
12		MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
13		THE COURT: Sustained.
14	Q (BY	MS. GEORGE) Do you Google anything?
15		MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
16		THE COURT: Sustained.
17	Q (BY	MR. FELDMAN) Do you Google my client?
18		THE COURT: Sustained.
19	Q (BY	MR. FELDMAN) Well, do you ever read the newspaper?
20		MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
21		THE COURT: Sustained.
22	Q (BY	MR. FELDMAN) You ever watch CNN?
23		MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.
24	Q (BY	MR. FELDMAN) You ever watch Rachel Maddow?
25		MS. GEORGE: Objection, relevancy.

1 MR. FELDMAN: He's lying, Judge. 2 THE COURT: Okay. But --3 Somebody who says he doesn't know --MR. FELDMAN: THE COURT: Assuming that's so, what does it have to 4 5 do with Rachel Maddow? 6 MR. FELDMAN: Because it came out in Rachel Maddow. 7 THE COURT: I don't know anything about Rachel 8 Maddow. 9 MR. FELDMAN: But I assumed that you, before you 10 came into this court, had heard of Lieutenant Dan Choi because 11 you read the newspapers and all. 12 THE COURT: No. The first time I met Lieutenant 13 Choi is when the people came into court. I had no idea who he 14 was. 15 MR. FELDMAN: And you had no idea who Lieutenant Dan Choi was, never heard of this individual. 16 17 THE COURT: I assure you. 18 MR. FELDMAN: I can never accuse the Court of lying. 19 THE COURT: No, I mean, I don't know. He was one of 20 the people who came in that day. You have the transcript. 21 That was the first time I saw him. 22 MR. FELDMAN: Heard of him. 23 THE COURT: Or heard of him. 24 MR. FELDMAN: Then I have no need for further questions, in that case. 25

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 2 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, I have a few followup 3 questions. THE COURT: All right. Let's go. Followup to what 4 5 he said? 6 MS. GEORGE: Yes, sir, that's correct. 7 THE COURT: Except for Rachel Maddow. 8 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, I will not be discussing 9 that. 10 (LAUGHTER.) 11 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. GEORGE: 13 Lieutenant LaChance, Mr. Feldman, in his recross, made a 0 14 comment that you ordered his client to leave the sidewalk. 15 Did you order him to leave the sidewalk or did you order him 16 to leave the area? 17 I ordered him to leave the area. Α 18 MR. FELDMAN: Objection, it says sidewalk. 19 THE COURT: No. If you want, I think what she's 20 going to do is to show him what he read from, so let's see 21 what that says. 22 (BY MS. GEORGE) I'm handing you Government's Exhibit 0 23 No. 47. 24 Does Government's Exhibit No. 47 memorialize the order that you gave on three occasions to Defendant 25

I	
1	Lieutenant Defendant Choi as well as the other individuals
2	on the ledge on the White House sidewalk?
3	A I'm sorry, I was reading this. I apologize.
4	Q Does Government's Exhibit No. 47 memorialize the order
5	that you gave on three occasions to Defendant Choi on
6	November 15, 2010, when he was standing on the ledge in the
7	perimeter?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And does it say What does it say?
10	A It says, "You must leave the closed portion of the White
11	House sidewalk now. All persons remaining on the closed
12	portion of the White House sidewalk will be arrested."
13	And third warning, "This is your third and final
14	warning. If you do not leave now, you will be arrested."
15	MR. FELDMAN: Sidewalk.
16	THE WITNESS: No. It says, "If you do not leave
17	now, you will be arrested."
18	THE COURT: I see the distinction. The second and
19	third, okay, I think we've got it. I see it.
20	MS. GEORGE: Thank you. No further questions, Your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: All right. Before anybody can think of
23	another question, please get down. Thank you, Lieutenant.
24	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
25	THE COURT: You may call your next witness.

1 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, the Government calls Officer Fermaint to the stand. 2 3 THE COURT: Who? MS. GEORGE: Officer Fermaint. 4 5 Your Honor, at this point in time, may we have a personal break for five minutes? 6 7 THE COURT: All right. I'll take our break early. 8 I was not going to take it till 3:30. How about 3:20 9 everyone, please. 10 MS. GEORGE: Does the Court need the tables? 11 THE COURT: Do I need the what? 12 MS. GEORGE: The tables. Do we need to remove our 13 items? 14 THE COURT: No. 15 MS. GEORGE: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: We are just coming back and resume the 16 17 trial. 18 MS. GEORGE: I didn't know if you were going to use 19 the courtroom between now and the break, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: I didn't have any plans to. 21 MS. GEORGE: Okay. 22 THE DEPUTY CLERK: This court stands in a brief 23 recess. 24 (A BRIEF RECESS WAS TAKEN.) 25 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Please remain seated. The Court

I	
1	is back in session. Please come to order.
2	THE COURT: Please call your next witness.
3	MS. GEORGE: The Government calls Officer Michael
4	Fermaint to the stand.
5	THE COURT: Officer, please.
6	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, please,
7	and raise your right hand.
8	(WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.)
9	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Please be seated,
10	sir.
11	MICHAEL FERMAINT,
12	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
13	DIRECT EXAMINATION
14	BY MS. GEORGE:
15	Q Sir, could you please state your name for the record.
16	Spell your first and your last name.
17	A First name is Michael, M-i-c-h-a-e-l; last name,
18	Fermaint, F-e-r-m-a-i-n-t.
19	Q Where do you work?
20	A I work for the United States Park Police.
21	Q Are you a law enforcement officer with that agency?
22	A Yes, ma'am.
23	Q And how long have you been an officer with the Park
24	police?
25	A Eight years and eight months.

1	Q And what what's your current rank at this point in
2	time?
3	A I'm sorry?
4	Q What's your current rank?
5	A A S.W.A.T. officer.
6	Q And as a member of the S.W.A.T. unit, what are your
7	responsibilities?
8	A Our responsibilities range from escorts, serving warrants
9	to handling demonstrations.
10	Q And do you have any particular responsibilities in
11	reference to the White House sidewalk?
12	A Yes, ma'am.
13	Q And I made reference to the White House sidewalk. Do you
14	know what particular area I'm referring to when I use that
15	term?
16	A Yes. You're referring to the south side of 1600.
17	Q I would like to have you give the Court some sense of
18	your experience with the Park police. You said you've been a
19	Park police officer for eight years.
20	How many of those years have you spent Let me
21	just can it this way. Have you participated in mass arrest
22	procedures of individuals at the White House sidewalk?
23	A Yes, I have.
24	Q And how many of those years during your eight years have
25	you done that?

1	A I've been doing that the last probably year-and-a-half or
2	so.
3	Q And could you please estimate for the Court approximately
4	how many mass arrests you've participated in in reference to
5	demonstrations on the White House sidewalk?
6	A I'm going to say approximately six.
7	Q And is there a procedure that's associated with the term
8	"mass arrest" in reference to the White House sidewalk?
9	A Yes, ma'am.
10	Q And could you generally explain to the Court your
11	understanding of that procedure.
12	A The procedure, once I when we come in, they ask during
13	roll call, they'll say there's going to be one demonstration
14	down at the White House sidewalk, so we prepare by gathering
15	all the tools we're going to need. We go down there. The
16	lieutenant tells us tells us from there what we have, and
17	from there we everyone has a responsibility, and he'll tell
18	us during the roll call what's our responsibility.
19	Q Okay. Now, before we talk about what your
20	responsibilities were on November 15 th , 2010, you said you
21	gathered tools that you're going to need. What items are you
22	going to need?
23	A Yes. Those items are what they call flex cuffs, we need
24	those, just markers, tags, things we're going to need during
25	an arrest. If we're going to have an arrest, we like to have

1	that	with	us.
T	lnat	WITN	us.

2 Q And when you say "tags," what kind of tags are you 3 referring to?

A Just tags so we can tag each -- you use markers to mark and then these tags where we can just -- well, each bag has a tag on them just saying what they are. So if there are mass arrest kits, everything is together in this mass arrest kit and it will say on the tag "mass arrest kit" on each bag, so we know what we're grabbing and then we'll look through it to make sure everything's there.

11 Q I want to direct your attention to November 15th, 2010.
12 Did you have the opportunity to participate in a mass arrest
13 of individuals in reference to a demonstration on the White
14 House sidewalk?

15 A Yes, ma'am.

16 Q Do you recall approximately what time you arrived to the 17 White House sidewalk on November 15, 2010?

18 A Approximately -- it was approximately about -- I'm going
19 to say about 1:30 or so.

20 Q And did you have a briefing like you described generally 21 is done in reference to the demonstration of November 15th, 22 2010?

23 A Yes, we had roll call.

Q Okay. And what if anything were you told in roll call about what you were going to be doing? Let me --

I	I I
1	MR. FELDMAN: Objection.
2	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Let me finish the question, please,
3	Officer, for me. And can I ask the question?
4	THE COURT: Please, ask the question.
5	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. What if anything were you told in
6	reference to the demonstration that was going to occur at the
7	White House on November 15 th , 2010?
8	MR. FELDMAN: Objection.
9	THE COURT: Overruled because I'm not going to
10	accept it for the truth of the contents but for another
11	purpose so I could ascertain what kind of preparations were
12	taken and whether there was any evidence of selective
13	prosecution. Go ahead.
14	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Please answer what information you
15	received from any officers or your supervisor in reference to
16	what was done at the demonstration at the White House sidewalk
17	on November 15 th , 2010.
18	A Okay. What you're asking what you're asking me is
19	what is done or what was going on down at the White House
20	sidewalk is what you're asking me during roll call?
21	Q No, I'm asking you what information you were told by any
22	other officers or your supervisors
23	A Okay.
24	Q $$ in the debriefing in reference to the demonstration at
25	the White House sidewalk on November 15, 2010?

I	
1	A About that that there was going to be a demonstration and
2	the amount of people that are expected to be arrested if they
3	were in violation. So they give you the amount of people
4	generally, about how many are down there, and that, you know,
5	that's it. Then we respond down there.
6	Q You said you arrived, I think you said, about what
7	time did you say you arrived? I can't remember.
8	A About 1:30 or so.
9	Q Okay. When did this briefing occur in relationship to
10	the time that you arrived?
11	A I can't recall, but I'm I can't really recall exactly
12	what time it was.
13	Q Was it before 1:30?
14	A Yes, it was before 1:30.
15	Q Was it in the morning or in the afternoon?
16	A I want to say it was in the afternoon.
17	Q And you said that they provided some numbers, or did they
18	give you any other details such as why the individuals would
19	be demonstrating?
20	A No.
21	MR. FELDMAN: Again, that's leading and hearsay.
22	THE COURT: Well, it's not hearsay. Remember my
23	ruling. I want to see the selective prosecution question.
24	What were you told about the people, if anything?
25	THE WITNESS: All I recall is that there were people

I		
1	going to be demonstrating and the approximate how many people	
2	were down there were going to be down there. That's all I	
3	recall, Your Honor.	
4	THE COURT: So there was no reference, as far as you	
5	knew, to what they were why they were demonstrating.	
6	THE WITNESS: No.	
7	THE COURT: Who they were, how they would be dressed	
8	or anything like that?	
9	THE WITNESS: (Nodding in the negative.)	
10	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Could you please answer for the record.	
11	A No.	
12	Q So you arrived around 1:30, correct?	
13	A Yes, ma'am.	
14	Q And what did you do when you arrived to the White House	
15	sidewalk on November 15, 2010?	
16	A We waited for certain instructions.	
17	Q Did you receive any instructions?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q What instructions did you receive?	
20	A What I was going to be doing; what my tasks were.	
21	Q And what tasks did you receive?	
22	A My task, I was going to be alongside the individuals that	
23	were lined up that were demonstrating this, just line up	
24	beside them so I can hear the his his verbiage during	
25	Q Whose verbiage?	

1	A Lieutenant LaChance.
2	Q And what verbiage are you referencing?
3	A His commands. Like that was my there was two I'm
4	lined up alongside of them so I can hear Lieutenant LaChance's
5	commands.
6	Q Okay. And you said "alongside of them." Tell the Court
7	what people you're referring to when you say "them"?
8	A It's the 16 the 13 demonstrators.
9	Q And where were these 13 demonstrators?
10	A They were lined up on the fence of the White House,
11	chained on the White House sidewalk on top of the cement
12	ledge that's on the White House and they were handcuffed to
13	the fence.
14	Q Okay. If you're facing the White House fence.
15	A Right.
16	Q Where did you line up?
17	A When
18	Q Let me finish the question, Officer Fermaint, please. A Okay.
19	A Okay.
20	Q Where did you line up in reference to the individuals
	that were handcuffed to the White House fence?
22	A Right side.
23	Q And could you please tell the Court Let me just ask it
24	<pre>A Right side. Q And could you please tell the Court Let me just ask it this way. So you lined up on the right side of those individuals, is that correct; you stated that, right?</pre>
25	individuals, is that correct; you stated that, right?

1	A Yes.
2	Q So is there a person to the left or the right of you?
3	A If I'm lining if I'm facing the White House, ma'am?
4	Can you rephrase? Say that again.
5	Q Okay. Which way were you facing when you went to the
6	fence to stand next to the individuals?
7	A I was on the
8	THE COURT: Towards the White House or away from the
9	White House, towards the statue of Jackson?
10	THE WITNESS: I was standing away from the fence.
11	THE COURT: Facing the White House or facing the
12	THE WITNESS: Facing, yeah, away from the White
13	House.
14	THE COURT: Facing away from the White House.
15	THE WITNESS: That's right. My back was to the
16	White House.
17	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. And you also said you were
18	standing next to the individuals that were on the ledge who were
19	attached to the fence; is that correct?
20	A That is correct.
21	Q So if you're facing away from the White House, are those
22	individuals to your right or to your left?
23	A They are to my right.
24	Q And how close were you to the person that was closest to
25	you?

- 1 A I was approximately three feet.

2	Q Could you please take this blue sticker, which has your
3	first and last initial on it, and place it where you were
4	standing that you just discussed on this map, close to the
5	White House fence.
6	A I would say around here approximately. Maybe right about
7	here. This is the fence right here.
8	Q Okay. Officer, just one second. Officer, let me ask a
9	question as to where the fence was. Could you please tell us
10	on Government's Exhibit No. 1, do you see where the fence
11	would be located?
12	A Looks like the fence would be right here. Well, this is
13	the sidewalk probably right about here.
14	Q Okay. Officer Fermaint, for the record
15	A This is the street, Pennsylvania
16	Q Can you have a seat.
17	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, they are mumbling. I couldn't
18	hear.
19	MS. GEORGE: I am asking him to have a seat at this
20	point in time.
21	THE COURT: Have a seat. And you're not going to
22	ask him to put it in.
23	MS. GEORGE: I'm not going to ask him with regard to
24	that question, Your Honor.
25	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Fermaint, in preparation for your

I	
1	testimony today, did you have the opportunity to look at a video
2	of the events at the White House fence on November 15, 2010?
3	A I have.
4	Q Okay. Now, before I ask you about that video, you said
5	that your purpose was to stand there to see if you could hear
6	the commands, I think, is the term that you used from
7	Lieutenant LaChance; is that correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Okay. Did he give any commands while you were standing
10	in the position that you previously described?
11	A Yes, ma'am.
12	Q And do you recall the content of those commands or the
13	nature of them?
14	A Yes.
15	Q What was it?
16	MR. FELDMAN: Objection.
17	THE COURT: No, overruled. I think it's a verbal
18	act. It's not hearsay. Go ahead.
19	A There were he stated his name and the agency and also
20	said that they were in violation and that they had to leave,
21	they had to leave the area.
22	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) What else?
23	A Also, yes, or else they would be arrested.
24	Q How many times did he give that command?
25	A Three times.

I	
1	Q And based upon the position where you were standing, were
2	you able to hear the commands?
3	A Yes.
4	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, at this time the Government
5	would request permission to play Government's Exhibit No. 2.
6	THE COURT: Again?
7	MS. GEORGE: Yes, so that Officer Fermaint can
8	see
9	MR. FELDMAN: Cumulative, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: I'm going to let you do it, but I'm not
11	so sure I understand the point.
12	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
13	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. Officer Fermaint, I have paused
14	Government's Exhibit No. 2. Are you shown in this frame of
15	Government's Exhibit 2 at this point in time?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Could you please describe where you are.
18	A I am to the Looking at the screen, I am on the far
19	right there, approximately three feet from the person dressed
20	all in black.
21	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, for the
22	MR. FELDMAN: I'm going to stipulate that he's going
23	to say he heard it from where he was, save you about 20
24	minutes.
25	THE COURT: No, that's all right. She's doesn't

have to accept your stipulation. Go ahead. 1 2 MS. GEORGE: And Your Honor, I just want to 3 memorialize for the record the portion of Government's Exhibit 2 showing approximately where he was standing. It's shortly 4 5 before the video begins and all the 13 individuals have their 6 backs to the position where Lieutenant LaChance previously 7 testified he was giving the warnings from. THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 8 9 (VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.) 10 (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. Officer Fermaint, we just played a 0 11 portion of Government's Exhibit No. 2 where Lieutenant LaChance 12 gave the first warning. You used "the command." Is the warning 13 that you just heard, the term "command" you used the same or different from the warning that Lieutenant LaChance gave? 14 15 А I'm sorry? You used the term "command." 16 0 17 And basically what it is, it's a warning. А Yeah. I say 18 "command." It's a warning. 19 Now, in this frame of the video, are you shown standing 0 20 in any position on the White House sidewalk in relationship to 21 where the individuals were on the ledge? 22 MR. FELDMAN: Judge, the best evidence is the 23 videotape. 24 THE COURT: Well, whenever there is circumstantial 25 evidence -- so I think she's trying to illustrate his

1	
1	testimony. The objection is overruled.
2	Where were you standing? Is that you standing where
3	we're looking?
4	THE WITNESS: That's me, yes.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And are you standing in approximately the
7	same position that you previously testified to you were standing
8	in before the first warning was given?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And at this point in time, after the first warning was
11	given, were you able to hear or not hear the warning?
12	A I was able to hear the warning.
13	MS. GEORGE: Court's indulgence.
14	(PAUSE.)
15	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Fermaint, without telling us
16	Let me just ask you this. Could you hear whether or not the
17	individuals on the ledge were saying anything at this point in
18	time?
19	A Can you repeat the question?
20	Q Were they saying anything at this point in time?
21	A I just remember I recall them chanting.
22	Q Okay.
23	MS. GEORGE: I have no further questions at this
24	time, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. FELDMAN:
3	Q Good afternoon, sir. Your name was on the yellow summons
4	or ticket, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you checked the box, did you not, that it goes
7	this case was to go to federal court rather than superior
8	court, correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q How many let me ask or how many protest arrests
11	have you been involved in in the last couple of years, about?
12	MS. GEORGE: Objection, assumes information not in
13	evidence.
14	THE COURT: Have you been involved in arrests that
15	came to this court as opposed to superior court?
16	THE WITNESS: Is this the first one, you're asking
17	me?
18	THE COURT: No, listen.
19	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
20	THE COURT: Have you been in a situation where a
21	demonstration led to arrests and those arrests were prosecuted
22	in the superior court as opposed to this court?
23	THE WITNESS: No.
24	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you. Thank you, Judge, for
25	doing that.

1 THE COURT: That's all right. Thank you very mu 2 Officer. You may stand down. You may call your next with 3 MS. GEORGE: Thank you. The Government calls 4 Officer Stoudamire to the stand. 5 THE COURT: Officer Stoudamire, please. Thank y 6 Officer, right over here, please. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir. 8 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. GEORGE:	ess.
 MS. GEORGE: Thank you. The Government calls Officer Stoudamire to the stand. THE COURT: Officer Stoudamire, please. Thank y Officer, right over here, please. THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir. (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated You can adjust the mic. JEROME STOUDAMIRE, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 	
 4 Officer Stoudamire to the stand. 5 THE COURT: Officer Stoudamire, please. Thank y 6 Officer, right over here, please. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir. 8 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 	ou.
 5 THE COURT: Officer Stoudamire, please. Thank y 6 Officer, right over here, please. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir. 8 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 	ou.
 6 Officer, right over here, please. 7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir. 8 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 	ou.
7 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir. 8 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION	
8 (WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.) 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION	
 9 THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated 10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION 	
10 You can adjust the mic. 11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION	
<pre>11 JEROME STOUDAMIRE, 12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION</pre>	•
12 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 DIRECT EXAMINATION	
13 DIRECT EXAMINATION	
14 BY MS. GEORGE:	
15 Q Sir, could you please state your name for the record	
16 spelling your first and your last name.	
17 A Yes. My name is Jerome Stoudamire.	
18 Q Could you please spell your first name and spell your	
19 last name.	
20 A First name is spelled J-e-r-o-m-e. Last name is	
21 S-t-o-u-d-a-m-i-r-e.	
22 Q Where do you work?	
23 A I'm currently assigned with the United States Park	
24 Police, Special Weapons and Tactics Team.	
25 Q Do you-all often refer to that as the S.W.A.T. team?	

I	
1	THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. We refer to that as
2	the S.W.A.T team.
3	THE COURT: You can just refer to her. Thank you.
4	A Yes.
5	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) How long have you been a member of the
6	U.S. Park Police?
7	A I've been a member for approximately 24 years.
8	Q And how long have you been assigned to the S.W.A.T. team?
9	A I would be, that would be 22 years with the S.W.A.T.
10	team.
11	Q Could you briefly describe your duties for the Court as a
12	member of the S.W.A.T team?
13	A Yes. What we do is we some of the main things we do
14	is we assist the Secret Service with the protection of the
15	President and the Vice President of the United States, and one
16	of the other main things we do is we are required to monitor
17	demonstrations around the White House.
18	Q And during your tenure as a U.S. Park police officer and
19	member of the S.W.A.T team, have you had the occasion to
20	participate in arrests of individuals engaging in
21	demonstrations at the White House sidewalk?
22	A Yes, I have.
23	Q And could you please approximate for the Court how many
24	arrests you've been involved in, I think you said in 22 years?
25	A In 22 years, oh, I would have to say maybe a couple

1	thousand.
2	Q And in those thousand arrests, have you become
3	MR. FELDMAN: 2,000.
4	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead. Please.
5	MR. FELDMAN: It's couple thousand.
6	THE COURT: Yeah. He said couple thousand. Please
7	go ahead.
8	MS. GEORGE: I thought that's what I said. If I
9	didn't, I'm sorry, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Don't worry about it.
11	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) In your experience in those couple of
12	thousand of arrests, have you become familiar with what has been
13	known as the mass arrest procedure?
14	A Yes, I have.
15	Q And can you please generally explain your understanding
16	of that procedure to the Court.
17	A My understanding of the mass understanding of mass
18	arrest procedure is if there is if there is what we
19	perceive is a violation, what we do is we have a whole
20	procedure whereby we will basically rope off the area. We
21	have an official involved with giving warnings because it's
22	our job to give them at least the ability to leave that
23	particular area. If they fail to do so, then we effect the
24	arrests based on our policy.
25	Q I want to direct your attention to November 15, 2010.

1	Did you have an occasion to participate in the arrest of
2	individuals associated with a demonstration at the White House
3	sidewalk?
4	A Yes, ma'am, yes, I did.
5	Q Could you please explain to the Court how you received
6	information to report to the White House sidewalk.
7	A I had heard information over the radio of demonstrators
8	at the White House sidewalk. At that point I was instructed,
9	I believe it was either by my sergeant or my lieutenant
10	that I don't recall to respond to the White House to
11	monitor the situation.
12	Q And what if anything Did you actually go to the White
13	House sidewalk?
14	A Yes, ma'am, I did.
15	Q And approximately what time did you arrive?
16	A Best of my knowledge, I would think I arrived somewhere
17	around perhaps 1:30 in the afternoon.
18	Q And did you receive any instruction once you arrived
19	there regarding what if anything you were supposed to do in
20	reference to any demonstrations?
21	A Yes. When I arrived, it looked as though we were in the
22	process of conducting our mass arrest procedures, so I was
23	instructed to stand near the demonstrators.
24	Q And you're referencing demonstrators. Who were these
25	people Let me just say, ask you this way. Where were these

I	
1	people that you were referencing?
2	A I saw approximately 13 people along the metal fence line
3	of the White House.
4	Q And what if anything were they doing when you arrived?
5	A When I arrived, I saw that they were they had actually
6	handcuffed themselves to the to the grates of the fence
7	line.
8	Q And you said that Park police were in the process or in
9	the middle of the mass arrest procedure. I think that's the
10	term you used. Could you please explain to the Court, if you
11	recall, where in the process Park police was.
12	A By the time I arrived, the individuals were already at
13	the fence line and already had chained themselves.
14	Q Okay.
15	A Handcuffed themselves to the fence line.
16	Q And at this point in time, do you know whether there were
17	still whether there were other individuals that weren't
18	handcuffed to the fence near the fence?
19	A To the best of my knowledge, by the time I arrived, that
20	area had already been cleared out.
21	Q Okay. And was there a perimeter set up when your
22	arrived?
23	A Yes, there was.
24	Q And how was the perimeter designated?
25	A The perimeter was designated by yellow police line tape

I	
1	which said "Police Line, Do Not Cross."
2	Q And where was that yellow tape, could you explain for the
3	Court, in relationship to the White House fence?
4	A We have a section referred to as the center section of
5	the White House. There are two there are two light poles
6	on each side of the center section and that's where we had the
7	police line tape.
8	Q How is the police line tape connected to these light
9	posts in relationship to the White House fence?
10	A I'm not quite understanding. I don't quite understand.
11	Q Physically, how does the Park police connect the police
12	tape to the White House fence and the light post?
13	A What we do is we tie a temporary knot to the fence. We
14	string that to the light pole. We wrap it around the light
15	pole and then we extend that across Pennsylvania Avenue to
16	Lafayette Park.
17	Q So once you observed that the perimeter had been set up,
18	were you told specifically what your role was going to be?
19	A Yes, I was.
20	Q What was that?
21	A My role was to stand near where the individuals had
22	handcuffed themselves to the White House sidewalk in order to
23	hear the warnings.
24	Q And what specifically, where were you told to stand?
25	A From my recollection, if you are facing the White House,

I	
1	I would have been to the left.
2	Q And how close were you to the individuals that were
3	handcuffed to the White House fence?
4	A I'd say I was approximately 5 feet.
5	Q And what were you supposed to be able to hear from that
6	position?
7	A We do a series of Well, the official in charge would
8	do a series of warnings, and to ensure that those on the fence
9	line could hear the warnings, we were positioned there. So if
10	we could hear the warnings, we could give an indication to
11	that particular commander that we indeed heard the warnings.
12	Q And how many you said there was a series of warnings.
13	How many warnings were given on November 15, 2010?
14	A We give Well, three warnings were given.
15	Q And were you able to hear the warnings?
16	A Yes, I was.
17	Q And do you have an independent recollection of the
18	content of the warnings that were given?
19	A I can't do it verbatim but I can paraphrase.
20	Q Okay. Before you answer that question, who gave the
21	warnings on November 15 th , 2010?
22	A That would be Lieutenant Robert LaChance.
23	Q Okay. And could you please give a summary of the
24	warnings as you recollect them.
25	MR. FELDMAN: I didn't get to the summary. I mean,

we all know what they are. 1 THE COURT: I agree. Could you be more precise and 2 3 tell us what you heard where you were. MR. FELDMAN: I have no objection. This is a man 4 5 with 22 years experience, okay. Let's be real here. May I 6 hand this up to the Court, please. 7 MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor. I mean, I'm 8 conducting direct. 9 THE COURT: Let her conduct her examination. I 10 would prefer you do it another way other than --11 MS. GEORGE: I would just play the tape. Is that 12 okay, Your Honor? 13 THE COURT: That would be wonderful. 14 MS. GEORGE: Okay. Thank you. 15 THE COURT: You'll see the tape right in front of you, Officer. 16 17 THE WITNESS: Oh, thank you, sir. (VIDEOTAPED PLAYED.) 18 19 Ο (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Stoudamire, I paused the tape. 20 Are there two officers shown in this portion of Government's 21 Exhibit No. 2 that I had paused? 22 Yes, ma'am. А 23 And could you please describe whether you are depicted in Q 24 the portion of Government's Exhibit No. 2?

25 A Yes, I am.

1 And could you please describe to the Court where you are 0 shown in this portion of Government's Exhibit No. 2? 2 3 In this portion, that would be me in the lower right-hand Α 4 corner. 5 MS. GEORGE: And Your Honor, at this time I would 6 just like the record to reflect that the Government played 7 Government's Exhibit No. 2, and shortly after it began, it's 8 showing a portion of the beginning with seven of the demonstrators with their backs to Lieutenant LaChance, and 9 10 Officer Stoudamire is shown in the lower right-hand corner. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. 12 (VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.) 13 THE COURT: Keep going. 14 (VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.) 15 (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Stoudamire, I just paused Exhibit Q No. 2 after Lieutenant LaChance gave the first warning. 16 The 17 second warning was one of the warnings given in a series of warnings you were referencing earlier? 18 19 Α Yes, ma'am. 20 And prior to the Government pausing the tape, did you see Ο the yellow police line tape that you referenced in the video? 21 22 Yes, ma'am, I did. А 23 And was one of the light posts that you referenced also Q 24 shown in the video with the yellow tape attached to it? 25 Yes, ma'am. Α

I	
1	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
2	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) I'm going to pause Government's Exhibit
3	No. 2, and for the record, I have paused Government's Exhibit
4	No. 2 after Lieutenant LaChance has given the first warning, and
5	depicted in this frame there are only four demonstrators if
6	you're facing the White House. It's the first four going from
7	left to right connected to the White House fence, and there's an
8	officer depicted in this frame. Do you know who that officer
9	is, Officer Stoudamire?
10	A Yes, ma'am. That officer would be me.
11	Q Okay. And could you please explain to the Court or
12	describe to the Court the distance that you are a short way
13	away from the, I believe that's the first individual facing
14	the White House fence?
15	A I would say that distance is about 5 feet.
16	Q And is that where you Let me just ask this. Did you
17	remain there during the entire series of warnings?
18	A Best of my knowledge, that would be yes.
19	Q And could you hear the three warnings from that
20	positioning?
21	A Yes, ma'am.
22	Q While you were posted at that location, did any of the 13
23	demonstrators try to remove themselves from the fence?
24	A At that time, no, ma'am.
25	Q Did any of the demonstrators indicate to you that they

I	
1	wanted to leave the perimeter area?
2	A No, ma'am.
3	Q Did any of them indicate asking to disconnect them from
4	the fence?
5	A No, ma'am.
6	Q And at some point in time, after the three warnings were
7	given, did you participate in the arrests of any of the
8	individuals that were standing on the ledge connected to the
9	White House fence?
10	A Yes, ma'am.
11	Q Do you see any of those individuals in court today?
12	A Yes, ma'am.
13	Q Could you please describe any of the individuals that you
14	participated in in their arrest?
15	A Yes. Just behind you there in the Defendant section, the
16	individual in the military uniform.
17	MR. FELDMAN: Indicating my client the Defendant,
18	Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: Thank you.
20	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And did you have a chance to watch the
21	entire video that's memorialized in Government's Exhibit No. 2?
22	A Yes, ma'am.
23	Q And did you also did you affix your initials and a
24	date to that exhibit?
25	A Yes, ma'am, I did.

I	
1	Q And could you please tell the Court whether the events
2	that would be described as the arrest of Mr. Choi are
3	memorialized on the video?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, it's in evidence.
6	THE COURT: Indeed it is. Thank you.
7	(VIDEOTAPED PLAYED.)
8	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, with the Court's
9	permission, at this time I'm going to fast-forward to the
10	portion of the arrest of Mr. Choi.
11	THE COURT: That's fine. All right.
12	MS. GEORGE: Fast-forward.
13	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) While I am fast-forwarding, Officer
14	Stoudamire, you indicated you participated in arrests of some of
15	the individuals. How did you know that they were to be
16	arrested?
17	A That came from the instruction of Lieutenant LaChance.
18	Q And what instruction did you receive from Lieutenant
19	LaChance on November 15, 2010 to cause you to arrest
20	individuals that you did in fact arrest?
21	A After the third warning, to the best of my knowledge, he
22	either gave me gave us a head nod to continue or he
23	actually verbally instructed us to start the arrest process.
24	Q And once you got that indication, what did you do?
25	A I started the arrest process.

I	
1	Q And in starting that arrest processing, did Lieutenant
2	LaChance ever tell you to consider the sexuality
3	MR. FELDMAN: Objection.
4	Q of these individuals?
5	THE COURT: She's speaking to your selective
6	prosecution. I think that you're I'm sorry. I interrupted
7	you.
8	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Did Lieutenant LaChance ever tell you to
9	consider the sexuality of any of these 13 individuals on the
10	White House fence in arresting them?
11	A No, he did not.
12	Q And did you know the sexuality of Mr. Daniel Choi?
13	A No, I did not.
14	Q And when I say "sexuality," I mean sexual orientation.
15	Were you aware of his sexual orientation?
16	A No, I was not.
17	Q Did Lieutenant LaChance tell you to consider his sexual
18	orientation in effectuating his arrest?
19	A No.
20	Q And in the, you said, couple of thousand arrests that you
21	participated in the White House sidewalk, did you ever
22	consider the sexual orientation of those individuals that you
23	arrested in those occasions?
24	A No, I did not.
25	Q Now, when you were standing, I think you said

I	
1	approximately 5 feet from the demonstrators on the White House
2	fence, could you see the handcuffs?
3	A I believe only from the first person.
4	Q Is that the person?
5	A Closest to me, the one in the white that was closest to
6	me.
7	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
8	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Now, Officer Stoudamire, I paused
9	Government's Exhibit No. 2 in a frame where there is a
10	appears to be an African/American individual with a black beret
11	with some officers surrounding him. Do you recognize any of
12	those officers?
13	A Yes, I do.
14	Q And are you one of those officers?
15	A Yes, I am.
16	Q And what are you doing in reference to that person?
17	A I'm in the process of assisting placing the handcuffs,
18	what we refer to as flexicuffs on the individual in order to
19	effect the arrest.
20	(VIDEOTAPED PLAYED.)
21	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Now, Officer Stoudamire, after you
22	arrested the individual, the African/American individual with
23	the black beret, did any of the other remaining individuals on
24	the White House ledge try to leave?
25	A No, they did not.

Did any of the individuals remaining on the White House 1 0 ledge indicate to you verbally or otherwise that they wanted 2 3 to leave? А 4 No. 5 MS. GEORGE: And Your Honor, I would like the record 6 to reflect that the frame that's on Government's Exhibit 2 7 that's showing now before Officer Stoudamire depicts an 8 individual which appears to have a priest collar and then 9 Defendant Dan Choi standing right next to him, and there are 10 seven of the 13 individuals still remaining on the White House 11 fence. 12 Sure. Thank you. THE COURT: 13 (VIDEOTAPED PLAYED.) 14 0 (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Stoudamire, I am pausing 15 Government's Exhibit No. 2. There appears to be a Park police 16 officer in a S.W.A.T. black uniform that's baldheaded. Do you 17 know who that is? Yes, I do. 18 Α 19 0 Who is that? 20 This is my supervisor, Sergeant Mark Adamichik. Α Do you 21 need a spelling on that? 22 Could you please spell it, if you know how. Q 23 Α It will be A-d-a-m-i-c-h-i-k. 24 (VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.) 25 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, with the Court's

I	
1	permission, could I fast-forward to the spot?
2	THE COURT: Please.
3	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
4	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Stoudamire, the Government's
5	Exhibit No. 2 is paused at a point where there appears to be an
6	individual with some bolt cutters. Do you know who that person
7	is?
8	A Yes, I do.
9	Q And does that person work with you?
10	A Yes, he does.
11	Q Who is that person?
12	A That is Officer Robert Zarger, Jr.
13	Q And could you please tell the Court right now what you
14	observed Zarger doing on November 15, 2010, as you
15	independently recollect?
16	A He was in the process of cutting loose a set of handcuffs
17	in order to free Mr. Choi from the fence line.
18	Q And do you recall how Mr. Choi's hands were connected to
19	the fence meaning Well, let me just ask it this way.
20	Were one or two hands handcuffed to the White House?
21	A Best of my knowledge, it was one hand.
22	MS. GEORGE: I want to continue Government's Exhibit
23	2 at this point in time, Your Honor.
24	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
25	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) At this point in time, Officer

I	
1	Stoudamire, the video is showing you and another officer pulling
2	Mr. Choi from the fence. Could you please tell the Court why
3	that was necessary.
4	A The person is removing Lieutenant Choi from the wall area
5	simply in order to effect the arrest. We needed more room.
6	Q And was he cooperating with being disconnected from the
7	fence?
8	A No, and that was the purpose for pulling him out to get
9	more room because he had entirely stiffened up and it required
10	additional officers in order to get his arms behind his back
11	in order to place the flexicuffs on.
12	Q And were you saying anything to him at this point in time
13	when you were effectuating the arrest?
14	A Yes. To the best of my knowledge, he was in he was
15	instructed to roll over and he was also instructed to place
16	his hands behind his back.
17	Q Okay. When he was told to roll over, did he roll over?
18	A No, he did not.
19	Q And when he was told to place his hands behind his back,
20	did he place his hands behind his back?
21	A No, he did not.
22	Q So what if anything did you do to safely effectuate his
23	arrest?
24	MR. FELDMAN: Objection.
25	THE COURT: Leave out the word "safely."

1	What did you do when he did that?
2	THE WITNESS: What occurred was we required more
3	officers. So more officers allowed us to gain greater control
4	of him, and utilizing greater strength we were able to get him
5	rolled over and able to get his hands behind his back.
6	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And did you do anything to harm or injure
7	him during this process?
8	A No, I did not.
9	Q And do you know, did you see at this frame in
10	Government's Exhibit No. 2, there are I think approximately
11	four officers yes, four officers assisting you with the
12	arrest of Mr. Choi; is that correct?
13	A That would be correct.
14	Q And could you please describe for the Court who these
15	officers are.
16	A From this, the best of my knowledge, the person on the
17	far left would be Detective Sergeant Hodge.
18	Q And can you determine who the other officers are with
19	this frame on Government's Exhibit No. 2?
20	A The officer in the largest screen closest to us would be
21	Lieutenant Fennelly.
22	Q Who is standing in front of Lieutenant Fennelly?
23	A That I can't tell at this point, the other two.
24	MS. GEORGE: And at this frame in Government's
25	Exhibit No. 2, Your Honor, Mr. Choi appears to be partially on

I	
1	his right side.
2	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And Officer Stoudamire, are you and the
3	other officers in the process of doing what at this particular
4	point in time?
5	A We were in the process of trying to roll him over on his
6	stomach in order for us to place his hands behind his back for
7	the flexicuffs.
8	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
9	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) At this frame in Government's Exhibit
10	No. 2, you appear to have but one hand on the wrist of Mr. Choi
11	and one around the some of his left fingers; is that correct?
12	A That is correct, yes.
13	Q What is going on at this point in time?
14	A We were still in the process of trying to get him to
15	completely roll over on his stomach and he was still
16	resisting.
17	Q And your hold you're holding his wrist and part of his
18	left hand. Could you please describe for the Court the
19	condition of his arm.
20	A Right now what you would see is his arm would be locked
21	and extended in place.
22	(VIDEOTAPED PLAYED.)
23	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) At this point, Officer Stoudamire, it
24	appears that in Government's Exhibit No. 2 Mr. Choi is face
25	front to the ground with his left cheek to the ground. Would

1	
1	you agree with that characterization?
2	A Yes, I would.
3	Q And at this point in time, is Mr. Choi complaining of any
4	injury or saying anything?
5	A No, he is not. No, he was not.
6	Q And at any point in time up until this point, did he ever
7	complain of any injury?
8	A No, he did not.
9	Q Did he say anything up until this point in time?
10	A No, he did not.
11	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)
12	MS. GEORGE: Court's indulgence.
13	(PAUSE.)
14	MS. GEORGE: I'm showing Defense counsel what's been
15	marked as Government's Exhibit No. 12.
16	MR. FELDMAN: You give me copies of this?
17	MS. GEORGE: Mr. Feldman asked the Government if
18	they could have copies of them. I forwarded a DVD to
19	Mr. Feldman with all the photographs in it.
20	MR. FELDMAN: I have the DVD, Your Honor, but I
21	don't have those color shots, and they're going in evidence,
22	so I don't have the evidence.
23	THE COURT: Let me see. What's on the DVD?
24	MS. GEORGE: The DVD is pictures of all the
25	photographs that I have marked.

I	
1	THE COURT: That's one of them?
2	MS. GEORGE: One of them is included in that DVD.
3	THE COURT: Objection overruled. You may admit it.
4	(GOVERNMENT EXHIBIT 12 ADMITTED.)
5	MS. GEORGE: May I approach the witness, Your Honor.
6	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Stoudamire, I show you what's
7	been marked and admitted by the Court as Government Exhibit
8	No. 12. Are you depicted in Government's Exhibit No. 12?
9	A I'm sorry?
10	Q Are you depicted, are you shown in Government's Exhibit
11	No. 12?
12	A Yes, I am.
13	Q And could you please explain to the Court what
14	Government's Exhibit No. 12 shows and at what point does that
15	show at what point in the arrest process does that show?
16	A At the conclusion of my part of the arrest, we we take
17	the individual over to our arrest wagon. At this point we
18	have criminal investigation people who do the search, take
19	down individuals' information. We do a complete search to
20	ensure that they're not carrying anything hazardous or
21	dangerous. Once that search is complete, they are then placed
22	inside the wagon for transport to our holding facility.
23	Q And did you participate in the search of Mr. Choi?
24	A Actually, no, I did not.
25	Q Did you observe the search?

I	
1	A Yes, I did. I observed the search.
2	Q Okay. And did you observe the officer that searched
3	Mr. Choi?
4	A Yes, I did.
5	Q And were any handcuff keys or other items recovered from
6	Mr. Choi?
7	A To the best of my knowledge, no.
8	Q And you referenced the wagon. Is the wagon shown in
9	Government's Exhibit No. 12?
10	A Yes, it is. It has the number "W1" listed on the back.
11	Q And what color is the wagon?
12	A The wagon is white with blue stripe. We refer to it as a
13	wagon, but it's actually a van.
14	Q And at this point in time in the photograph depicted in
15	Government's Exhibit No. 12, Mr. Choi appears to be standing;
16	is that correct?
17	A That is correct, yes.
18	Q And are you assisting him with standing at this point in
19	time?
20 21	 A No, I am not. Q Is are any of the other officers assisting him with
	Q Is are any of the other officers assisting him with
22	standing at this point in time?
23	A No, they were not.
24	A No, they were not. Q Officer Stoudamire, I have to backtrack just a little bit. So can we go back to when you arrived to the White House
25	bit. So can we go back to when you arrived to the White House

I	
1	sidewalk. You said that was approximately at 1:30; is that
2	correct?
3	A I believe so, yes.
4	Q And until the point in time that's shown in Government's
5	Exhibit No Let's just say it this way. In Government's
6	Exhibit No. 12, do you recall approximately what time it was
7	when you were there with Mr. Choi at the arrest wagon?
8	A I would say probably somewhere around 2:30.
9	Q Okay. And during that period of time, do you recall
10	whether you observed any individuals with amplification
11	devices?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And could you please describe what type of device you saw
14	an individual or individuals with?
15	A I saw an individual white male adult in a military
16	uniform with a bullhorn outside of our outside of the zone
17	that we created in the area of Lafayette Park.
18	Q Okay. I'm going to show you what's been marked or
19	admitted as Government's Exhibit No. 35. Is Government's
20	Exhibit No. 35 showing the individual that you just
21	referenced?
22	A Yes, it does.
23	Q And in Government's Exhibit No. 35, is the individual you
24	just spoke of in Lafayette Park in the photograph, in
25	Government's Exhibit No. 35?

Actually, no, this individual is not. 1 А 2 Okay. But is that the individual you saw in Lafayette 0 3 Park? А 4 Yes. 5 And could you please explain to the Court where Lafayette Q 6 Park is in relationship to the area that is shown in 7 Government's Exhibit No. 35? 8 MR. FELDMAN: I think the Court knows. 9 THE COURT: I can take judicial notice of where he 10 is because I can see in the distance the buildings that are 11 familiar. One is the old Riggs National Bank which used to be 12 on that corner. 13 MS. GEORGE: Just so it's clear, is the Court taking 14 judicial notice that Lafayette Park would be behind the person 15 in Government's Exhibit No. 35? THE COURT: Yes, no question. I know exactly where 16 17 Judicial notice of his location is taken. he is. 18 MS. GEORGE: Thank you. 19 No further questions at this time. 20 MR. FELDMAN: Very briefly. Is this the last 21 witness, Judge? 22 THE COURT: I have no idea. 23 MR. FELDMAN: You want to ask or not? 24 Will you rest after this officer speaks? THE COURT: 25 MS. GEORGE: No, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: Go ahead.
2	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Thank you, Judge.
3	CROSS-EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. FELDMAN:
5	Q Good afternoon, Officer Stoudamire?
6	A Yes, sir, good afternoon.
7	Q How are you?
8	A Fine. How are you?
9	Q Pretty good. Little tired. Thanks for asking.
10	Did you see any time, from the time my client was on
11	the ledge until he was arrested, that he posed a threat to
12	public safety?
13	A From the time I was there, I did not see that, no.
14	Q Did you see that he posed a threat to emergency
15	operations that involved a threat to public safety or park
16	resources?
17	A Could you repeat that question, please.
18	Q Yes, sir. Did you see at any time that day, at all that
19	day, that my client posed a threat to emergency operations
20	that involved a threat to public safety or park resources?
21	A Well, sir, I take it if you're asking my opinion, I would
22	have to say yes, due to the fact that he had actually chained
23	himself to the fence line.
24 25	Q That's uncontroverted. He definitely handcuffed himself
25	Q That's uncontroverted. He definitely handcuffed himself to the fence, right?

I	
1	A Yes, sir.
2	Q Okay. How did him he chaining himself or handcuffing
3	himself to the public fence create or pose a threat to
4	emergency operations that involved the threat to public safety
5	or park resources?
6	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, that's a compound question.
7	THE COURT: That's all right. You understand that,
8	Officer? Can you handle it?
9	THE WITNESS: I think I can handle it. Just repeat
10	that again.
11	MR. FELDMAN: Can we have it read back, please.
12	THE COURT: Sure.
13	COURT REPORTER: (Reading) How did him he
14	chaining himself or handcuffing himself to the public fence
15	create or pose a threat to emergency operations that involved
16	the threat to public safety or park resources?
17	MS. GEORGE: Objection, it's a compound question.
18	THE COURT: I'm sorry. Overruled. Can you answer
19	the question?
20	THE WITNESS: Yes. Just go over the first part.
21	Repeat the first part for me, please.
22	COURT REPORTER: (Reading) How did him he
23	chaining himself or handcuffing himself to the public fence
24	create or pose a threat to emergency operations that involved
25	the threat to public safety or park resources?

1	
1	THE WITNESS: Well, I would say from chaining
2	from handcuffing himself to the fence, if an incident had
3	taken place that required some kind of emergency response
4	between the Secret Service on the inside of the fence or us, I
5	don't know if he would have ever been able to get himself
6	loose.
7	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) But it didn't, did it?
8	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy.
9	THE COURT: No, it didn't, meaning such an event did
10	not occur that day; is that right?
11	MR. FELDMAN: Yes. Thank you, sir.
12	THE WITNESS: Right. Okay. I'm sorry, Your Honor,
13	I guess I took that
14	THE COURT: Don't say sorry. You are being very
15	candid. I just wanted to make sure you understood the
16	question.
17	THE WITNESS: I took that to mean you were asking me
18	my perception of what a threat would consist of.
19	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Well, you've been doing this 22 years.
20	A Yes.
21	Q You're the most seasoned guy here, right?
22	A Well, on the stand right now.
23	Q Well, the most seasoned guy, as far as you know, that's
24	testifying in this case.
25	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, lacks foundation

that he knows who has testified.
THE COURT: Of the officers assigned to this unit at
the White House, are you one of the more senior?
THE WITNESS: I am one of the more senior, but I am
not the senior.
THE COURT: All right.
Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Sir, you very candidly let's talk
real here, please. You very candidly said that the way that
Choi posed a threat was by handcuffing himself to the public
fence, correct? I mean, to the White House fence?
A The White House fence. I understand what you're saying.
Q Right. And then I asked how does handcuffing himself to
the White House fence pose a threat to public safety, law
enforcement actions and emergency operations that involved the
threat to public safety or park resources? And you said by
handcuffing himself to the fence, correct?
MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor. The Government
would ask that that question be bifurcated. There is four
conditions. It's four separate questions.
THE COURT: Do you understand the question?
THE WITNESS: Well, not all of it, so I think we're
just going to have to restate.
Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Rephrase it. You very candidly said, t
answer the question, by handcuffing himself to the fence, and

25 then you said, if something would have happened that would have

to

I	
1	made it necessary to do an emergency operation for some reason,
2	then it would have created a public threat, correct?
3	A That it could have, yes.
4	Q That it could have, even better. And then you candidly
5	conceded that that thing did not occur, correct? That the
6	thing that caused the necessity to conduct an emergency
7	operation see, it's a hypothetical threat; is that fair?
8	A Well, I perceived your question as a hypothetical
9	question.
10	Q Well, something didn't occur. I mean, don't you agree
11	that we're sort of chasing we're going round and round?
12	Don't you agree that this very nice discussion, this very nice
13	interrogation, respectful interrogation, your very respectful
14	answers are going around and around; don't you agree with
15	that?
16	MS. GEORGE: Objection, vagueness and relevancy
17	about the characterization of the questioning.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) All right. Let me rephrase, and let's
20	do it word by word, okay.
21	You would agree is that there were no fire fighting
22	operations involved here, correct?
23	A Correct.
24	Q You would agree there were no search and rescue
25	operations here, correct?

1 A Yes, sir, correct.

-	
2	Q You would agree there were no wildlife management
3	operations involving animals that posed a threat to public
4	safety, law enforcement actions and emergency operations that
5	involved the threat to public safety or park resources or
6	other activities where the control of public movement and
7	activities is necessary to maintain order and public safety?
8	MS. GEORGE: Objection, compound question.
9	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Other than him handcuffing himself to
10	the fence, that's the only thing that he did that posed any kind
11	of threat. That's a nice simple question, right?
12	A Again, you came by with at least four different things or
13	so.
14	THE COURT: Let me see if I can help you, Officer.
15	THE WITNESS: Yes.
16	THE COURT: We all agreed he chained himself to the
17	fence.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
19	THE COURT: What counsel is doing is he was reading
20	to you from something you may not have seen. It is the
21	regulation that pertains here, which you may or may not be
22	familiar, and his point is he would like you to explain to him
23	why you, on basis of a very experienced officer, believe that
24	his handcuffing to the fence raised a threat to the public
25	safety or to park resources.

the
would
ened,
een
we
dress.
ned.
't
p me
t were
and
n with
know

I	
1	A Oh, I hope
2	THE COURT: Don't we have a picture that we can show
3	the officer so we don't have to I'm looking right at one
4	right here.
5	MS. GEORGE: I don't know to whom Mr. Feldman is
6	referring.
7	THE COURT: He's referring to one of the
8	demonstrators.
9	MR. FELDMAN: No, no, a police officer.
10	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Then I was mistaken.
11	MR. FELDMAN: May my assistant play the tape again?
12	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, I would assist him but I
13	have no idea who he's referring to.
14	THE COURT: Have your assistant do it.
15	MR. FELDMAN: Go to the place where Officer
16	Stoudamire is making an arrest with the gentleman with the
17	cardigan, the top of the cardigan.
18	You want to turn it a little lower, Iana. Can I
19	turn that sound off, Judge, mercifully?
20	THE COURT: Sure.
21	MR. FELDMAN: Stop, stop.
22	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) You can see Hodge. You see Hodge?
23	A Yes, he appears
24	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, can we pause the tape for
25	the record and record what we're looking at?

1 MR. FELDMAN: We have to keep on looking to find the 2 quy. 3 THE COURT: At this point --There it is. Stop. 4 MR. FELDMAN: 5 THE COURT: -- Defendant is on the ground, Officer 6 Stoudamire is over him in what appears to be one handcuff in 7 his right hand, right. 8 MR. FELDMAN: Yes, sir. 9 THE COURT: My question is who else is in the photo? 10 MR. FELDMAN: Well, we see Easter, the white guy 11 with the black hat, right. 12 THE COURT: Right. 13 MR. FELDMAN: Let's go around counter-clockwise. 14 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, I have an objection. 15 Mr. Feldman is commenting on -- the witness hasn't affirmed his comment. 16 17 MR. FELDMAN: He's nodding his head. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Stoudamire, Easter, the question 19 is who is that other person who is bending over. 20 MR. FELDMAN: Correct. 21 THE COURT: Is directly across from you. 22 MS. GEORGE: To who is the Court pointing to? 23 I am pointing to the man who is --THE COURT: 24 MR. FELDMAN: May I approach, Ms. George_ 25 I am talking to the Court, Mr. Feldman. MS. GEORGE:

I	
1	THE COURT: Who is bending down who is directly
2	across from Officer Stoudamire. Officer Stoudamire's left
3	hand is holding the handcuffs. The gentleman's hand is in the
4	small of the Defendant's back.
5	MR. FELDMAN: Exactly.
6	MS. GEORGE: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) What's that gentleman's name, please.
8	A Unfortunately, I know who he is, I just don't remember
9	his name.
10	Q Fair enough. Is his name Laska?
11	MS. GEORGE: Objection. He stated he doesn't know
12	his name.
13	MR. FELDMAN: I can refresh his recollection. He
14	says he knows the guy.
15	THE COURT: Is it Laska?
16	THE WITNESS: It may be.
17	MR. FELDMAN: Okay. Judge, at this time since his
18	knowledge is in the control of the Government and not me at
19	all, and this gentleman right here, Officer Stoudamire, seems
20	to be working with this gentleman and Ms. George is the
21	Government attorney, I respectfully demand the name of that
22	guy.
23	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Is it Robert Zarger, Jr.? Does that
24	refresh your recollection, Officer Stoudamire?
25	A Oh, no, that would definitely not be Officer Zarger.

1
THE COURT: Who is it, Ms. George?
MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, first of all, for the
record, the record must reflect that Mr. Feldman sent me
several photographs, I think a couple of weeks ago, who the
Government already identified to him who these officers were.
THE COURT: As a courtesy to me, would you be so
kind as to say who this human being is.
MS. GEORGE: It's Officer Laska.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. FELDMAN: Thank you so much.
MS. GEORGE: Which he already knew.
MR. FELDMAN: I wouldn't have wasted your time,
Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. FELDMAN: I would not have wasted your time.
THE COURT: Now, we established the Officer.
MR. FELDMAN: Laska, L-a-s-k-a.
THE COURT: We are now moving on, well past the
significance of that information.
Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) That human being in on my client's back,
that's the cosmics, right or wrong.
MR. FELDMAN: Play it over, Iana. Backward and
forward so Mr. Stoudamire sees it.
MS. DIBONA: Sure, Mr. Feldman. Is that okay?
(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)

(BY MR. FELDMAN) Do you see that he unnecessarily had to 1 Ο put his knee in my client's body? 2 3 MS. GEORGE: Objection as to whether --THE COURT: I don't think it was unnecessary, but I 4 5 don't think it's for me --6 MR. FELDMAN: You say that, I'll stop, Judge. Ιf 7 you say that, that's the rule -- I mean, the fact as you see 8 it. 9 THE COURT: Please don't interrupt me. You see, 10 Officer Stoudamire, that at one point that gentleman puts his 11 night right under the butt of him, the --12 MR. FELDMAN: Choi. 13 THE COURT: Choi, the Defendant. 14 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Yes, Your Honor. 15 And you say it's unnecessary, you're MR. FELDMAN: 16 fine, Judge. I disagree respectfully. I'll move on. 17 THE COURT: The question to both of you, why is the effectuation of the Defendant's arrest significant? 18 19 MR. FELDMAN: It shows bias. 20 THE COURT: Why, Ms. George, did you elicit evidence 21 as to that? 22 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, it's significant because 23 the nature on which Mr. Choi is being arrested and his 24 resistence shows his intent to failure to obey the order. Ι 25 mean, he's not cooperating.

1 THE COURT: I think that's a stretch. 2 MS. GEORGE: I mean, it's subject to connect --3 THE COURT: I can't believe we spent as much time as we did on effectuation of the arrest that I don't really see 4 5 any significance. 6 I agree, Your Honor. MR. FELDMAN: 7 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, can I answer the Court's 8 question fully. The Court has decided to take the motions 9 under advisement, so the Government is asking questions. 10 THE COURT: Ms. George, for the last time, you were 11 not obliged to anticipate any defense. For all you know, they 12 may rest as soon as you close and there may be no defense. Ιt 13 is not the law that I have to rule in advance of the closing 14 of your case whether they can present the defense. That's not 15 the law. 16 MS. GEORGE: And I understand that, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: He's got the right to present a defense 18 or present no defense and refuse to take the stand if that's what he's wishing to do. Unless and until that moment comes, 19 20 why are you reaching out of there? Don't you trust me? Ι 21 represented to you that if he does raise a defense and you in 22 fairness have not had a fair opportunity to speak to the 23 factual issues presented, I will permit you to do so. 24 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, it's also --25 THE COURT: But you can't -- you can't, as a

condition of proving his guilt beyond a reasonable doubt, 1 anticipate the defenses he may have and then say that I must 2 3 give you a preliminary ruling upon the bases that if he does not grant and presents a defense, it would be insufficient. 4 Ι 5 can't do that. That's not fair. That's not what the constitution permits. He's got the constitutional right to 6 7 present a defense if that's what he wants to do. 8 If that defense is insufficient as a matter of law, 9 then I will have to reach whatever conclusion I must. But 10 until we get to that, I don't understand it. 11 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, may I respond at this point 12 in time? 13 THE COURT: Sure. The Government's response to the 14 MS. GEORGE: 15 Court's statement is that this evidence is relative to the Defendant's arrest on that day regarding the elements before 16 17 the Court. In addition, the --18 THE COURT: All of which speak not to his actions 19 after he is -- after the arrest is made when he's lying on the 20 ground but to whether his behavior on that day violated 21 Section 2.32. 22 MS. GEORGE: That is correct, Your Honor. And 23 specifically --24 THE COURT: So whether his arrest was properly made 25 or improperly made has nothing to do with that; we agree as to

1 that? 2 MS. GEORGE: No, Your Honor. The Government does 3 not agree. 4 MR. FELDMAN: I agree. 5 MS. GEORGE: It's related to the elements of failure 6 to obey a lawful order. 7 THE COURT: Proceed. Thank you. 8 MS. GEORGE: May I speak to the Defense comment that the Court made? The Government's argument to the Court is 9 10 that all of the subjects of the motion in limine are not in 11 fact defenses. So the Government is addressing a number of 12 things; the selective prosecution, which is not a defense, and 13 the Court has already considered that throughout the direct of 14 all of the witnesses. 15 So the Government had the understanding that it should be asking questions about that particular subject. 16 17 THE COURT: You misunderstood my ruling and I'm 18 sorry I was not clear, but I was trying to communicate to you 19 then and I will communicate to you again, I don't believe it 20 is the function of a motion in limine, unlike a civil case, 21 for me to anticipate the potential defenses that may be raised 22 and reached. Unless and until the Defendant presents a 23 defense it's -- the question of sufficiency doesn't even 24 It's hypothetical. arise. 25 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, earlier the Court said that

I could ask questions in relation to the selective prosecution theory that the Defense is alleging, and these questions are related to that and that's on the basis in which I was asking the questions.

5 THE COURT: All right. Then if I said that, then I 6 was mistaken. Having listened to the testimony all day, I now 7 have the issue sharpened for me.

8 The first issue is whether or not there was a 9 violation of the regulation that was involved. As I 10 understand that, once you rest, the Defendant will make 11 whatever arguments he can as to the sufficiency of your case.

12 Then the Defendant will decide whether to present 13 the defense of these charges or otherwise try to defend 14 himself. He may choose selective prosecution, he may deny his 15 guilt, he may choose whatever defense he wishes to. I assure 16 you if he does that and you believe there is insufficiency of 17 your proof related to that defense, you will be permitted to 18 do so.

MS. GEORGE: And the Government is confident in that, Your Honor. The Government also has, as we discussed earlier, two legal theories of the case. These questions are related to the primary theory as well as the secondary theory. MR. FELDMAN: Objection. This colloquy is interrupting my flow, Judge. You already ruled. THE COURT: Let's proceed. All right. I understand

I	
1	your point, Ms. George, and we'll return to it later. Let's
2	go.
3	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Thank you, Officer Stoudamire. Move on
4	very briefly.
5	He was put under arrest for failure to obey and
6	before Officer Laska had his knee in his butt and before you
7	were gently carrying him. In other words, he was under arrest
8	for failure to obey before that, whatever, correct?
9	A Please repeat that question one more time.
10	Q My client was under arrest before Laska had his knee in
11	my client beneath my client's butt?
12	A Yes, that is correct.
13	Q Then we're talking about mood, and I understand the
14	judge's ruling. Let me move on.
15	So, out of all the couple of thousand cases that
16	you've been involved in for mass protests in front of the
17	White House, how many of them about have been prosecuted in
18	federal court?
19	A That, I have no idea.
20	Q More than five or less than five?
21	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, he
22	THE COURT: He says he has no idea. I'm afraid
23	having no idea is no idea.
24	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. Well, how about this. How many
25	times have you testified in superior court involving, more or

1	less, involving cases involving demonstrations?
2	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy,
3	vagueness, under what context?
4	MR. FELDMAN: The question goes of how much goes
5	there and how much stays here, Judge.
6	THE COURT: In those situations where you have
7	effectuated arrests at the White House, do you recall at the
8	top of your head or otherwise, how many of those times you
9	found yourself having cases they say papered in superior court
10	as opposed to this court?
11	THE WITNESS: I would have to say this is the first
12	time.
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Thank you.
14	A For me.
15	Q 2,000 in 22 years, yes, sir?
16	A Yes, sir.
17	Q Thank you.
18	MR. FELDMAN: Anything else?
19	THE COURT: Ms. George?
20	MR. FELDMAN: I am asking my client "anything else."
21	THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. Excuse me. I didn't
22	hear you say that.
23	MR. FELDMAN: We mumble a lot, and that's it, Judge.
24	Thank you very much.
25	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Thank you for your honesty, sir.

I	
1	Okay. Let's talk about the audibility issues.
2	MS. GEORGE: I'm sorry, let's talk about what?
3	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Audibility issues. This is a very
4	intelligent seasoned man here. Okay. You're on the left.
5	Facing the White House, you're on the left, correct? Facing the
6	White House, you're on the left?
7	A Yes, sir, I am.
8	Q And LaChance is is back towards, you know, away from
9	the White House, LaChance?
10	A Lieutenant LaChance, yes, sir.
11	Q He says it's LaChance. He liked it my way. He did.
12	So Lieutenant LaChance is way back about 30 yards,
13	more or less, behind you?
14	A No, sir, I would not say 30 yards.
15	Q What would you say?
16	A I would say approximately 40 feet.
17	Q Would you be surprised to know that he testified under
18	oath that he was approximately 30 yards?
19	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
20	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Or 120 feet?
21	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor, relevancy as to
22	his testimony.
23	THE COURT: He can't comment on someone else's
24	testimony. Sustained.
25	MR. FELDMAN: The Court is very note, very

1 astute. 2 (BY MR. FELDMAN) Okay. Now, when you said you heard 0 3 LaChance giving the warnings, would it be fair to say that you were not chanting? You were not chanting? 4 5 That is correct, I was not. Α 6 You were not screaming? Q 7 А That is correct, I was not. 8 And Choi was chanting at that point when LaChance was Q 9 giving the warnings, correct? 10 MS. GEORGE: Objection. He hasn't laid the 11 foundation. 12 THE COURT: Well, in the videotape I saw Choi 13 chanting. Did you hear him and see him doing that? THE WITNESS: To the best of my knowledge, I do not 14 know if he chanted every single time during the warnings. 15 16 That's fair. But there was -- it would (BY MR. FELDMAN) Ο 17 be fair to say that he was chanting or screaming or yelling -chanting or yelling, talking loud, and the other co-defendants 18 19 were also chanting or talking loud during the majority of this 20 whole event? 21 MS. GEORGE: Objection, compound question, Choi and 22 other individuals. 23 I think it's overruled. I think the THE COURT: 24 officer can understand. Do you understand what he's saying? 25 THE WITNESS: I understand that, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Thank you. 2 (BY MR. FELDMAN) You're quiet. You remain silent during 0 3 this whole thing? Based on the video, I did see that Lieutenant Choi and 4 Α 5 others had been chanting, and then yes, I did remain quiet. 6 Okay. And it would be fair to say that because you Q 7 remained quiet, it would be reasonable and fair to say that it 8 would be -- that LaChance's warnings would be more audible to 9 you than it would be to Choi because him and his buddies are 10 chanting and singing -- and yelling? 11 MS. GEORGE: Objection to the latter part of the 12 question. He can't testify to what Mr. Choi could or couldn't 13 hear. 14 THE COURT: Well, I don't know. Let me see if I can 15 understand what counsel is driving at. You're about 5 feet 16 from these people. 17 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: And you, of course, are silent. 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. 20 They are not, right? THE COURT: 21 THE WITNESS: Correct, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: And what counsel was trying to have you 23 explain to him is whether from your perspective it was likely 24 they heard what you heard. 25 THE WITNESS: Well, my perspective would be yes,

I	
1	they did simply because of my proximity to them. So although
2	they were chanting, I was I definitely heard them, I heard
3	the audible noise of their chanting, but I was still able to
4	hear the warnings from Lieutenant LaChance.
5	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) But it would be fair to say, since you
6	were maintaining silence, you would have heard LaChance better
7	than people who were making lots of noise?
8	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor. The question
9	presumes
10	THE COURT: I think that calls for him I think I
11	got your point, Counsel. The objection is sustained.
12	MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Judge.
13	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Now, you've heard these warnings
14	hundreds or thousands of times, correct?
15	A Yes, sir.
16	Q And would it be fair to say, since you heard them
17	hundreds of thousands of times, it's normal phenomena when you
18	hear the same stuff over and over again, like for instance
19	let's talk about lyrics to a song, went you hear a song over
20	and over again, the lyrics come clearer, you perceive them
21	clearer; that's correct, isn't it?
22	MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Well, in your experience, is it true
24	that the more you hear something, the more likely you are to
25	remember it?

I I
THE WITNESS: I would have to say yes.
Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) And not only remember it, sir, to
understand the words. And let me give you an example. If you
hear a song, the first time you hear it you may not understand
the words, but if you hear it repeatedly thousands of times over
20 years, you hear words that you were not able to understand
when you first heard the song?
A I would say that is a possibility, yes.
Q And would you also say it's a reasonable possibility, the
same way with the song, that since you've been doing this for
22 years and hearing this over and over again, and Choi has
only heard it maybe one, two, three, four, five times,
certainly less than the thousand, it would be harder for him
to understand the words than for you to understand the words
because you heard it thousands of times; that's fair to say,
isn't it?
MS. GEORGE: Objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'll going sustain the objection.
Again, I think I got the point.
MR. FELDMAN: I'll move on. Hang on. Thank you
very much, Officer, for your candid honest testimony.
THE COURT: Anything else?
MS. GEORGE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome, sir.
REDIRECT EXAMINATION

1	BY MS. GEORGE:
2	Q Officer Stoudamire, the Court asked you a question in
3	response to Mr. Feldman's question about arrests that were
4	quote/unquote papered in superior court. You recall that
5	reference?
6	A Yes, I do.
7	Q Do you understand what it means to $$ what the term
8	"papered in superior court" means?
9	A Yes, what we do is we present evidence to an Assistant
10	U.S. attorney. They make a decision as to whether or not it
11	goes forward for trial. If it does go forward, if it goes
12	further beyond our presentation, we refer to that as papered.
13	Q And the Court and Mr. Feldman asked you to draw a
14	conclusion in response to their compound question about the
15	arrests in your couple of thousand arrests, how many were
16	papered in superior court as opposed to U.S. district court;
17	do you recall that question?
18	A Yes, I do.
19	Q And your response was that you said you believed that
20	this case that we're talking about here today in court was the
21	first one that was brought in federal court; is that correct?
22	MR. FELDMAN: That he was involved in.
23	THE COURT: Yeah. Do you understand?
24	MS. GEORGE: He didn't say that's why he
25	didn't say that he was involved in papering of anything.

That's what I'm trying to clarify. 1 2 THE COURT: Could the reporter please find that 3 portion of the testimony where he spoke to that question. Ιt follows a question by me in which the words "superior court" 4 5 appear. 6 (Reading) In those situations COURT REPORTER: 7 where you have effectuated arrests at the White House, do you 8 recall at the top of your head or otherwise, how many of those 9 times you found yourself having cases that they say papered in 10 superior court as opposed to this court? 11 That was a question by the Court. 12 That what was my question. THE COURT: 13 COURT REPORTER: Yes. And the answer was (reading) 14 I would have to say this is the first time. 15 (BY MS. GEORGE) In those cases that the Court was 0 referencing, were you involved in every instance that was 16 17 presented to the U.S. Attorney in superior court in the papering 18 process? 19 А That would be no. 20 So, do you know whether the case was even presented for Ο 21 papering -- any of those cases were presented for papering at 22 all? 23 Α No. 24 MS. GEORGE: No further questions, Your Honor. I do 25 have -- not with regard to that issue. I do have some

I	
1	additional questions.
2	THE COURT: Go ahead.
3	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Mr. Feldman asked you several questions
4	about whether you could or couldn't hear the warnings when
5	Mr. Choi and the other individuals were chanting. Do you recall
6	those questions?
7	A Yes, I do.
8	Q And I think you testified that you could hear the
9	warnings even though they were chanting; is that correct?
10	A That is correct, yes.
11	Q Now, in addition to Mr. Choi and the other individuals
12	chanting, there was other noise coming from the crowd that was
13	on the outside of the perimeter; isn't that correct?
14	A That is correct, yes.
15	Q Could you still hear the warnings that Lieutenant
16	LaChance gave over the chanting of Mr. Choi, over the chanting
17	of the individuals with Mr. Choi and over the external noise
18	coming from the crowd on the outside of the perimeter?
19	A Yes, I could.
20	Q Mr. Feldman also asked you questions about whether
21	Mr. Choi created a public safety issue at the White House
22	sidewalk as a result of chaining himself to the fence. You
23	recall those questions?
24	A Yes, I do.
25	Q Now, I'd like to ask you some questions about the in

<pre>1 your 22 years of participating in arrests at the White House 2 sidewalk, when you and the other Park police officers go to 3 monitor demonstrations at the White House sidewalk, are you 4 concerned about public safety in monitoring those 5 demonstrations? 6 MR. FELDMAN: Objection. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 A Yes, we are. 9 Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern 10 about in monitoring those demonstrations? 11 A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. 12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.</pre>	
<pre>3 monitor demonstrations at the White House sidewalk, are you 4 concerned about public safety in monitoring those 5 demonstrations? 6 MR. FELDMAN: Objection. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 A Yes, we are. 9 Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern 10 about in monitoring those demonstrations? 11 A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. 12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.</pre>	
<pre>4 concerned about public safety in monitoring those 5 demonstrations? 6 MR. FELDMAN: Objection. 7 THE COURT: Overruled. 8 A Yes, we are. 9 Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern 10 about in monitoring those demonstrations? 11 A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. 12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.</pre>	
 demonstrations? MR. FELDMAN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A Yes, we are. Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern about in monitoring those demonstrations? A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the demonstrators. 	
 MR. FELDMAN: Objection. THE COURT: Overruled. A Yes, we are. Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern about in monitoring those demonstrations? A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the demonstrators. 	
 THE COURT: Overruled. A Yes, we are. Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern about in monitoring those demonstrations? A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the demonstrators. 	
 A Yes, we are. Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern about in monitoring those demonstrations? A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the demonstrators. 	
 9 Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And whose public safety are you concern 10 about in monitoring those demonstrations? 11 A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. 12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators. 	
<pre>10 about in monitoring those demonstrations? 11 A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. 12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.</pre>	
11 A Well, what we do is we start with, of course, the public. 12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.	ed.
12 My apologies. We start with our concern about the safety of 13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.	
13 the public, the safety of the officers and the safety of the 14 demonstrators.	
14 demonstrators.	
15 Q Okay. And are you concerned about the public safety of	
16 any individuals that also may be inside of the White House?	
17 A Yes, we are.	
18 MR. FELDMAN: Objection, relevance. Nobody is	
19 THE COURT: That's not public safety. That's	
20 individual safety.	
21 MR. FELDMAN: Nobody is saying they are going after	
22 the President of the United States, Judge.	
23 THE COURT: Nobody is suggesting that, but the	
24 public safety in your view includes the safety of the people	
25 who live at the White House and work there as well.	

is correct.			
c safety			
include tourists that are at the White House sidewalk during			
these demonstrations?			
Judge.			
nk it's			
safety. Go			
s point,			
tand up, it			
dn't			
Thank you			
ext witness.			

I				
1	Zarger to the stand.			
2	THE COURT: Officer Zarger, please.			
3	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Stand right here for me, sir.			
4	(WITNESS SWORN BY THE DEPUTY CLERK.)			
5	THE DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. You may be seated and			
6	adjust the mic to you.			
7	ROBERT F. ZARGER, JR.,			
8	having been duly sworn, testified as follows:			
9	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
10	BY MS. GEORGE:			
11	Q Sir, please state your name for the record, spelling your			
12	first name and your last name.			
13	A Robert, R-o-b-e-r-t; Francis Zarger, Z-a-r-g-e-r, Jr.			
14	Q Where do you work, sir?			
15	A I work with the United States Park Police.			
16	Q And are you a law enforcement officer with that agency?			
17	A Iam.			
18	Q And are you a law enforcement officer with that agency? A I am. Q How long have you worked with the Park police? A 26 years. Q And could you please summarize your experience with the Park police explaining your ranks and give a general overview			
19	A 26 years.			
20	Q And could you please summarize your experience with the			
21	Park police explaining your ranks and give a general overview			
22	of duties that you have been responsible for in those 26			
23	years.			
24	A I'm a private assigned to the S.W.A.T. unit. Initially			
25	of duties that you have been responsible for in those 26 years. A I'm a private assigned to the S.W.A.T. unit. Initially came on as a patrol officer on the George Washington Memorial			

I				
1	Parkway in 1985. In 1989 I was tried out for and was			
2	selected for the S.W.A.T. team and have been working with the			
3	S.W.A.T. team in that capacity since 1989.			
4	Q And as a member of the S.W.A.T. team, do your duties			
5	include responding to demonstrations conducted on the White			
6	House sidewalk?			
7	A They do.			
8	Q Could you please explain to the Court how the S.W.A.T.			
9	team is specifically involved in monitoring those			
10	demonstrations and making any arrests of individuals that are			
11	demonstrating on the White House sidewalk?			
12	A We are responsible for monitoring the demonstrations that			
13	occur in Lafayette Park and the White House sidewalk and that			
14	area. When there is violations of the Code of Federal			
15	Regulations and lockups happening			
16	Q And what's that?			
17	A And there is a need to be lockups, we assist with			
18	removing the subjects if they are chained or handcuffed to the			
19	fence or carry them to the wagon where they are transported to			
20	normally our Anacostia field office, and at that time we can			
21	sometimes also assist with the processing and paperwork,			
22	fingerprints at the substation.			
23	Q Okay. Officer Zarger, you mentioned removing individuals			
24	from the fence if they are handcuffed to the White House			
25	fence. I want to direct your attention to November 15 th ,			

1	2010. Did you respond as a member of the S.W.A.T. team of			
2	U.S. Park Police in reference to a demonstration on that day?			
3	A I did.			
4	Q And approximately what time did you arrive at the White			
5	House sidewalk?			
6	A Approximately 2:00 o'clock.			
7	Q And could you please tell the Court, if you remember,			
8	what if anything what if anything you observed when you			
9	arrived at that time.			
10	A I observed a small number of approximately 13 individuals			
11	that were handcuffed to the White House fence standing on the			
12	masonry brick, and they were stationary within the restricted			
13	zone and handcuffed. One hand was handcuffed to a handcuff			
14	and the other side of the handcuff was handcuffed around the			
15	White House fence post.			
16	Q You once you arrived to the White House sidewalk,			
17	could you tell the Court Well, let me just ask you this.			
18	Who was managing or supervising the monitoring of the White			
19	House demonstration from the Park police perspective at that			
20	point in time?			
21	A Lieutenant Robert LaChance who's the commander of the			
22	S.W.A.T. unit.			
23	Q And did you receive any instructions from him or any			
24	other supervising official in reference to what your role			
25	would be in relationship to the individuals that were			

1	handcuffed to the White House fence?	
2	A Yes, I was assigned to use bolt cutters to remove the	
3	handcuffs from the individuals and the fence.	
4	Q Now, as a U.S. Park Police officer, do you carry	
5	handcuffs as part of your uniform and on your uniform belt?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q And do you also have a handcuff key?	
8	A Yes.	
9	Q When you said you were going to use bolt cutters to	
10	disconnect the individuals from the White House fence, why	
11	were you going to use the bolt cutters versus using your	
12	handcuff key?	
13	A It was my understanding that the key hole to the	
14	handcuffs were in some way defeated by something being shoved	
15	in the keyhole which wouldn't allow the key to access the	
16	keyhole and release the handcuffs.	
17	Q You said it was your understanding. How did you learn	
18	that information?	
19	A Through another officer, police officer on the scene.	
20	MR. FELDMAN: Object and move to strike the whole	
21	caboodle, Judge. That's really rank.	
22	THE COURT: No. There was another officer who said	
23	something to you about this. Here's the question I have. Did	
24	you ever attempt to use your key to open these handcuffs?	
25	THE WITNESS: I did not.	

1 THE COURT: May I ask why not? 2 THE WITNESS: Someone else had, so I retrieved bolt 3 cutters. THE COURT: Someone else had? Had what? 4 5 THE WITNESS: Had attempted, I believe. 6 THE COURT: So some other officer -- Did you see 7 that? 8 THE WITNESS: I do not recall. I just recall 9 that -- hearing that the handcuff keys wouldn't work and that 10 we needed the bolt cutters. 11 MR. FELDMAN: Now, can I move to strike. You recall 12 hearing --13 THE COURT: I will obviously take the testimony as 14 to his observation. 15 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, could the Government offer this, that it's not offered for the truth of the matter 16 17 asserted. 18 THE COURT: It most certainly is. The truth of the 19 matter is that someone put goo in the handcuffs to preclude 20 using handcuff keys to get it out. That someone has not been 21 before the Court. It is now a statement being offered for the 22 truth of its contents when the person who made the statement 23 is not available for cross-examination. 24 MS. GEORGE: Well, Your Honor, the Government is not 25 offering it for that purpose. The Government is just offering

1		
1	it to show why Officer Zarger used the bolt cutters.	
2	THE COURT: It's hearsay.	
3	MR. FELDMAN: I object to every single ruling that	
4	this honorable court makes thinking about it, considering it,	
5	she moves to reargue it.	
6	THE COURT: It's okay. It's hearsay. Please move	
7	on.	
8	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Zarger, did you in fact use bolt	
9	cutters to disconnect the 13 individuals from the White House	
10	fence?	
11	A I did.	
12	Q And did you have to use the bolt cutters to disconnect	
13	every individual that was on the, what you call, the masonry	
14	base at the White House fence?	
15	A Yes.	
16	MS. GEORGE: Showing Defense counsel what's been	
17	marked for identification purposes	
18	MR. FELDMAN: No objection. Without objection. All	
19	of it goes in, Judge, without objection.	
20	MS. GEORGE: And for the record, previously provided	
21	to Defense counsel.	
22	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, and I agree.	
23	MS. GEORGE: As Government's Exhibits 9 through 23.	
24	I move to admit them at this time.	
25	THE COURT: Hearing no objection, they'll be	

I				
1	admitted. Thank you.			
2	(GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS 9 THROUGH 23 ADMITTED.)			
3	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Zarger, I'm showing you			
4	Government's Exhibit No. 9. Are you shown in Government's			
5	Exhibit No. 9?			
6	A I am.			
7	Q And do you have anything in your hands in Government's			
8	Exhibit No. 9?			
9	A It was one of the bolt cutters that were used to remove			
10	the handcuffs, handcuffs from the individual's wrists and the			
11	White House fence.			
12	Q Are you referring to the			
13	MR. FELDMAN: Could he turn it around, Judge? Thank			
14	you.			
15	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) You say bolt cutters, which object are			
16	you referring to?			
17	A This right here, the red handled.			
18	THE COURT: Red handled object.			
19	THE WITNESS: Object.			
20	THE COURT: Thank you.			
21	MR. FELDMAN: We stipulate to bolt cutters.			
22	THE COURT: Thank you.			
23	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, at this time I would like			
24	to move to the portion of the DVD where Officer Zarger			
25	disconnects Mr. Choi from the fence. I have a few questions			

I		
1	about that.	
2	THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.	
3	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)	
4	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Now, Officer Zarger, I'm showing you	
5	what's been admitted into evidence as Government's Exhibit No.	
6	2, and for the record, the frame that's reflected in the video	
7	at this point in time is the frame of Defendant Choi where he's	
8	perpendicular to the White House fence just to the left of the	
9	center frame of the White House fence.	
10	Officer Zarger, do you see yourself in that frame?	
11	A I do.	
12	Q And what if anything are you doing at this point in time?	
13	A Getting the bolt cutters around the portion of the	
14	handcuffs that were around the Defendant's wrist.	
15	Q And is there another officer there assisting you?	
16	A Yes.	
17	Q Who is that officer?	
18	A That is Officer Stoudamire.	
19	Q Now, the frame that is shown, you can't really see the	
20	tip of the bolt cutters, correct?	
21	A Correct.	
22	Q Do you have an independent recollection as to what you	
23	specifically were trying to do at that moment in time when	
24	you're trying to disconnect the handcuffs?	
25	A Yes. I was trying to cut the portion of the handcuffs so	

1	that I could free Defendant's wrists from the handcuffs.	
2	Q Okay. And was one or both hands connected to the White	
3	House fence at this point in time?	
4	A At this point in time, one hand.	
5	Q And do you recall which hand was connected to the fence	
6	with the handcuffs?	
7	A I do not recall if it was the right or left.	
8	Q And were you able to disconnect the handcuffs?	
9	A Yes.	
10	(VIDEOTAPE PLAYED.)	
11	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Now, Officer Zarger, were you at the	
12	White House sidewalk when Lieutenant LaChance gave warnings on	
13	that day?	
14	A Yes, I was.	
15	Q Okay. And could you please tell the Court whether you	
16	were there before the first warning was given?	
17	A I was.	
18	Q And could you please tell the Court where you were when	
19	you heard Lieutenant LaChance give the first warning?	
20	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, Judge, cumulative. I mean,	
21	really. That's your this is your call, Judge. You decide.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Let's easy. Could you	
23	show us a photograph maybe and he can show us where he was	
24	because I would like to know where he was.	
25	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, could I have him describe	

1	1	
1	it first. I don't know if I have a photograph of the area.	
2	THE COURT: There is no still that shows where he	
3	is?	
4	MS. GEORGE: I don't know.	
5	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Zarger, could you please tell the	
6	Court where you were standing in relationship to Lieutenant	
7	LaChance when he gave the first warning?	
8	A The first warning, I was to the which would be the	
9	west side, about 10 yards past the center of the White House	
10	center fence line and about ten yards out where I was	
11	retrieving the bolt cutters from the vehicle.	
12	Q So were you actually on the White House sidewalk, based	
13	upon that description?	
14	A No, I was in the on Pennsylvania Avenue, about ten	
15	yards west of when he issues the first warning.	
16	Q Were you behind Lieutenant LaChance, to the right of	
17	Lieutenant LaChance?	
18	A To the right of Lieutenant LaChance.	
19	Q Okay. And you said you were to the right of him in	
20	Pennsylvania Avenue?	
21	A Correct.	
22	Q And could you please tell the Court how much distance was	
23	between where you were standing to the right of Lieutenant	
24	LaChance and where he was at his vehicle giving the warnings?	
25	A He was probably about 15 yards to the right of Lieutenant	

I	I	
1	LaChance.	
2	Q Okay.	
3	MR. FELDMAN: Judge, I object to this gentleman's	
4	perception of your ability is totally irrelevant, totally	
5	different.	
6	THE COURT: I'm trying to understand something.	
7	You're 15 yards from LaChance, right?	
8	THE WITNESS: Yes.	
9	THE COURT: All right. I thought your question was	
10	how far he was from the ledge.	
11	MS. GEORGE: No, I said at the point where he was	
12	THE COURT: He's 15 yards from LaChance Okay. Go	
13	ahead.	
14	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Okay. And you're to the right of	
15	Lieutenant LaChance's vehicle where he's giving the warnings	
16	from, correct?	
17	A During the first warning, yes.	
18	Q Okay. And at that point in time, were there any people	
19	outside of the perimeter of where Lieutenant LaChance's	
20	vehicle was?	
21	A Describe people. I mean, there were people that were	
22	outside. Are you talking the defendants or?	
23	Q No, people. Just people.	
24	A There were the people outside.	
25	Q The perimeter, the yellow tape?	

I		
1	А	Yes.
2	Q	Okay. And were they talking, chanting, yelling?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Okay. And were, at this point in time, at the first
5	warn	ing, were you able to see the 13 individuals on the
6	maso	onry base of the White House fence?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And were they chanting, talking?
9	A	Yes, they were.
10	Q	And could you hear what the people what the people on
11	the masonry base at the White House fence were saying? Not	
12	what	they were saying, but could you hear them?
13	A	I could hear them, yes.
14	Q	Could you hear the people chanting outside the yellow
15	poli	ce line where you were standing at this point in time?
16	А	Yes, I could.
17	Q	Could you hear Lieutenant LaChance's first warning at
18	that	point in time?
19	A	Yes, he was clear.
20	Q	And could you hear exactly what he was saying?
21	A	I could.
22	Q	Officer Zarger, you referred to you referred to
23	some	thing called the masonry base. You recall using that term
24	in y	our testimony?
25	A	I do.

I	
1	Q I'm going to give you a blue sticker with your first and
2	last initial on it of R as in Robert and Z as in Zarger?
3	MR. FELDMAN: I'm going object. It's not the
4	sidewalk. The only issue is the sidewalk.
5	THE COURT: What are you wanting to do, Ms. George?
6	MS. GEORGE: I just want him to identify what
7	part
8	THE COURT: You can do that. The objection is
9	overruled. Go ahead.
10	Q (BY MR. FELDMAN) Using Government's Exhibit No. 3, can
11	you tell the Court whether the structure you describe as the
12	masonry base is actually in the in Government's Exhibit
13	No. 3?
14	A It is.
15	Q Could you please take this blue sticker and put it on the
16	structure that you've referring to.
17	A (Doing so.)
18	Q Thank you very much.
19	Now, I'm going to give you the same sticker with the
20	initials "R.Z." on it and ask you to label again in
21	Government's Exhibit 9 the structure in this photograph that
22	you're referring to as the masonry base?
23	MR. FELDMAN: I can't hear anybody because it's too
24	noisy in here.
25	THE COURT: They are doing some drilling upstairs.

I	
1	It's going to stop now.
2	MS. GEORGE: Court's indulgence.
3	(PAUSE.)
4	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) Officer Zarger, as a U.S. Park police
5	officer, do you have to have hearing tests?
6	A I do.
7	Q And how often do you have those hearing tests?
8	A Once a year.
9	Q Okay. And when was the last time you had a hearing test
10	in relationship to November 15 th , 2010?
11	A It would have been in May of 2010.
12	Q And did any medical official indicate whether you had any
13	problem with your hearing?
14	A No, I passed the test.
15	Q And is that test
16	MR. FELDMAN: I object. This gentleman's hearing is
17	not in issue.
18	THE COURT: No, I think it is in issue. As I
19	understand it, he's standing near closer to LaChance and says
20	he could hear him. Are you suggesting that he moved in
21	another direction before the second and third warning?
22	MS. GEORGE: No. I'm suggesting, Your Honor, that
23	he could hear the warnings could be heard from very
24	different points even with the crowd noise.
25	THE COURT: You made that point. That's fine.

I	
1	Q (BY MS. GEORGE) And do you have to have a certain level
2	of hearing to be an officer with the U.S. Park Police, Officer?
3	A You do.
4	Q And what is that?
5	A I don't know.
6	Q But you indicated you passed the test?
7	A All I know is I passed the test, yes.
8	MS. GEORGE: No further questions at this time.
9	MR. FELDMAN: Nothing, sir.
10	THE COURT: Thank you. Please step down.
11	All right. It is 5:15. Ms. George, where are you
12	in your presentation of the evidence, please.
13	MS. GEORGE: Court's indulgence, Your Honor.
14	(PAUSE.)
15	MS. GEORGE: The Government has to check whether one
16	of its potential witnesses is still in the witness
17	THE COURT: How many witnesses do you have left,
18	ma'am?
19	MS. GEORGE: Possibly just one additional witness,
20	Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. I would suggest that we
22	adjourn for the day and begin again at 9:30. I would just
23	point out to counsel tomorrow afternoon, I would say I have my
24	other criminal duties to attend to, and as it presently
25	stands, we have two detention hearings on tomorrow. I don't

know whether they will go for hearing or not, but it's 1 2 something to bear in mind. 3 MR. FELDMAN: Oh, okay. We only have one more day scheduled. It looks like it's not going to go, Judge. 4 Ι 5 mean, you work really fast. 6 THE COURT: "Sufficient for the day is the evil 7 thereof, " so the good book says. 8 MR. FELDMAN: Then let's talk about the witnesses. 9 I excused -- I subpoenaed Sergeant Adamichik, and he was here 10 and he was very earnest, and I don't know if you know this or 11 not but he has very serious issues. His child --12 THE COURT: You don't have to put it on the record. 13 I'll take your word for it. MR. FELDMAN: Serious personal things, and I excused 14 15 But I didn't know that he was the guy who had gotten his him. orders from LaChance or was very involved with LaChance, so 16 17 unfortunately, I need to get him here, Judge. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 MR. FELDMAN: So I mean, it's after 5:00 o'clock. Ι 20 can call liaison but it's doubtful. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. Well, if we have to go over for a 22 day or so so we can get him subpoenaed, all right. 23 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. And also Officer Hodge, he was 24 here all day long. I didn't excuse him. He asked to be 25 excused.

THE COURT: He hasn't been excused. He's only 1 2 excused by me. He's not excused. He'll be here. 3 MR. FELDMAN: Okay. And then Officer Laska, I did subpoena him but he did not respond. He did not come here 4 5 today, I think. I have to go through the motions, you know. 6 THE COURT: Let me know -- Laska? 7 MR. FELDMAN: L-a-s-k-a. He's very relevant. You 8 saw him or heard him and I want, Judge --9 THE COURT: Now, the last few of these people of 10 Hodge and Laska, they are under subpoena, right? 11 MR. FELDMAN: Yes. 12 MS. GEORGE: According to -- let me just put this on 13 the record, Your Honor. Sergeant Tolson, the U.S. Park Police liaison officer as we discussed here on August 26th, I gave 14 15 that information to Mr. Feldman. I got an e-mail from Mr. Tolson, Sergeant Tolson that Mr. Feldman contacted him and 16 17 he placed those individuals on subpoena. Mr. Feldman has 18 Sergeant Tolson's cell phone number. He can contact him. He's available after 5:00 o'clock. 19 20 MR. FELDMAN: I will do that. 21 THE COURT: If you have problems, you can't get 22 them, we'll make arrangements even if we have to go another 23 day another time. 24 MS. GEORGE: For the record, Sergeant Tolson told me 25 he had a different telephone number today because his original

I	
1	cell phone is not working. I will give that to Mr. Feldman.
2	MR. FELDMAN: I appreciate that, too. And then I
3	have two witnesses in the case.
4	THE COURT: Let's see how much we can get
5	accomplished tomorrow.
6	MR. FELDMAN: What time you want us here?
7	THE COURT: 9:30.
8	MR. FELDMAN: Yes, sir. Thank you, sir.
9	THE COURT: Ms. George, you mentioned this in this
10	morning's argument. It is the names of two cases of the D.C.
11	Circuit. Give me the citations so I can read them.
12	MS. GEORGE: It's Goldin and Poocha, and they are
13	cited in the Government's motion.
14	THE COURT: Goldin and Poocha?
15	MS. GEORGE: Yes.
16	MR. FELDMAN: May I give you two cases that I didn't
17	cite so you can have your staff study and work on it already?
18	THE COURT: My staff consists of me and a pencil.
19	MR. FELDMAN: This is really important, Judge, and
20	I'll gave it to Ms. George looking over my shoulder. The
21	first is City of Chicago versus I'm sorry. City of Chicago
22	versus Jesus Morales, and it's Supreme Court of United States
23	and it was decided on June 10 th , 1999. And has to do
24	THE COURT: You going to give me copies?
25	MR. FELDMAN: I'll bring them tomorrow, how's that?
I	1

THE COURT: What was it that you have just give
me the citation.
MR. FELDMAN: You want the official cite or the
unofficial cite?
THE COURT: Just the U.S. cite.
MR. FELDMAN: 527 U.S. 41.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. FELDMAN: 1999.
MS. GEORGE: 1999.
MR. FELDMAN: Very important, about due about
notice, about what doing stuff wrong. I don't know what
defense used. You know what I'm talking about, right?
THE COURT: Yeah, I guess so.
MR. FELDMAN: About notice about you committing the
crime. Notice that you're committing disorderly conduct, for
example.
THE COURT: I understand. That's one. You had
another one.
MR. FELDMAN: One more, Judge. And this is New York
Times Company versus Sullivan.
THE COURT: Famous Sullivan case.
MR. FELDMAN: Almost doesn't need a citation, 376
U.S. 254. And that's about robust speech. That's all.
THE COURT: I understand.

misconduct, Myers. This is the most important. We just found 1 out the person behind this whole operation, and that's 2 3 Randolph Myers who's the solicitor. Now, I definitely want to call him. Again, he's a lawyer, and in my jurisdiction where 4 5 I'm used to, if there is a lawyer from DOJ, the prosecutor 6 does me the courtesy of getting him here at his convenience. 7 MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, just for the record, as I 8 understand it, if a party wants to subpoena a United States

Attorney, there's something called the *Touhy* regulation.

9

24

10 The way this works is as follows. THE COURT: There 11 are -- he's a Department of Interior. They have what is known 12 as Touhy. Touhy regulations specify the circumstances under 13 which employees can be made to testify. Independent of that, 14 by virtue of a circuit decision written by Judge Cavanaugh and 15 it's indicated that the United States is a subject to -officials of the United States are subject to Rule 45 and 16 17 accordingly they can be subject to subpoena, so therefore, you can subpoena Mr. Myers. 18

To alleviate that problem, is it possible for the United States Attorney to ask Mr. Myers if he could join us tomorrow as a courtesy to me?

MS. GEORGE: I can do that on behalf of the Court,Your Honor, yes.

THE COURT: Please.

25 MR. FELDMAN: Thank you, Ms. George. Thank you,

I	
1	Your Honor. Thank you, Ms. Coln. And thank you, Ms. Court
2	Reporter.
3	THE COURT: Thank you. Good day. 9:30 tomorrow.
4	You can leave your exhibits up here. You can leave the
5	jacket. Thank you. We are in recess. You're excused.
6	(PROCEEDINGS END AT 5:20 P.M.)
7	*_*_*
8	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
9	I, Catalina Kerr, certify that the foregoing is a
10	correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the
11	above-entitled matter.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	Catalina Kerr Date
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	